# Reaction Crystallization Field to Control Crystal Characteristics

結晶特性を制御するための反応晶析場

February 2014

Mikiyasu INOUE

井上 幹康

## Reaction Crystallization Field to Control Crystal Characteristics

結晶特性を制御するための反応晶析場

February 2014

Waseda University Graduate School of Advanced Science and Engineering Major in Applied Chemistry, Research on Chemical Engineering

Mikiyasu INOUE

井上 幹康

## **JUDGING COMMITTEE**

*Referee in chief* 

Waseda University: Prof. Izumi Hirasawa

*Referees*  Waseda University: Prof. Suguru Noda Martin-Luther-Univetsity Halle-Wittenberg: Dr. Ing. Joachim Ulrich

## CONTENTS







3.2.4 Conclusions



Chapter 4 The relationship between crystal habit and relative intensity of XRD peaks on



between  $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$  crystals and additives

4.3.2 Relationships among XRD absolute intensity, XRD relative intensity and crystal



morphology

Characteristics of reaction crystallization

and effects of polyelectrolyte reaction field

Reaction crystallization is one of liquid phase method obtaining crystal product by mixing liquid raw materials. Reaction crystallization has advantages and disadvantages. The greatest advantage of reaction crystallization is rapid reaction rate resulting from supersaturation generated by reaction. In addition, reaction crystallization can save energy because reaction occurs at ordinary temperatures and ordinary pressures. On the other hand, the most serious disadvantage of reaction crystallization is difficulties to control crystal nucleation and crystal growth caused by large supersaturation and consequent crystal aggregation. In addition, not target materials but amorphous precursor of them can be formed in reaction crystallization. For example, ceramics requiring high temperature or high pressure to crystallize are likely to form amorphous precursor synthesized by reaction crystallization. YBO<sub>3</sub> applied as Vacuum ultraviolet (VUV) red phosphor is one example to form amorphous precursor synthesized by reaction crystallization. Moreover,  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$  can form amorphous other than three polymorphs, vaterite, aragonite and calcite, and some hydroxide can form gel-like solid showing low crystallinity and bad solid-liquid separation properties.

To control crystal nucleation and crystal growth, and to prevent aggregation, reaction crystallization using polyelectrolyte as reaction field is proposed. Reaction crystallization using polyelectrolyte intends to divide whole process of crystallization into nucleation process and growth process by preventing continuous nucleation and secondary nucleation. Polyelectrolyte reaction field will prevent overgrowth and aggregation of crystals by electrostatic attractive force between polyelectrolyte and solute molecules or crystal nuclei, and by steric hindrance of polymer chain. As a result, mono-dispersed microcrystals free from aggregation will be formed. In fact, this method has provided remarkable results on synthesis of mono-dispersed microcrystals of poorly-soluble sulfates:  $SrSO<sub>4</sub>$ , Ba $SO<sub>4</sub>$ , and Pb $SO<sub>4</sub>$  [1, 2, 3]. The problem forming amorphous precursor is hard to solve because it is almost defined by fundamental characteristics of materials whether crystal precursor or amorphous precursor is formed in reaction crystallization. However, confirmation of synthesis method of crystal precursor will enable us to control crystal shape and crystal size more easily not only in reaction crystallization but also in lower processes considering amorphous has structural proximity to liquid phase.

Characteristics of target materials:

 $(Y,\text{Gd})BO_3:Eu^{3+}$  and  $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$ 

## 2.1 Characteristics of  $(Y,\text{Gd})BO_3:Eu^{3+}$

2.1.1 Crystal characteristics and luminescent characteristics of  $(Y,\text{Gd})BO_3:Eu^{3+}$ 

 $(Y,\text{Gd})BO_3:Eu^{3+}$  is one of VUV red phosphors doped Eu<sup>3+</sup> as luminescent center into YBO<sub>3</sub> host lattice.  $Y^{3+}$  in the host lattice is partly substituted with  $Gd^{3+}$  to enhance energy transfer efficiency.  $(Y,\text{Gd})BO_3:Eu^{3+}$  is widely used for plasma display panels (PDP) and is examined to apply to Hg-free fluorescent lump in the future. PDP is one of light-emitting flat display panel with VUV phosphors. PDP is comprised of two glass screens; front screen with display electrodes and rear screen with address electrodes coated with phosphors. Xe containing Ne is enclosed between two screens. Ne showing high discharge generation efficiency helps to decrease discharge voltage of Xe. Discharged Xe generates VUV excitation light whose wavelength is 147 nm and 173 nm by impressing a voltage between these two electrodes, and as a result, phosphors excited by VUV emit fluorescence. (Y,Gd)BO<sub>3</sub>:Eu<sup>3+</sup> phosphor particles about 3  $\mu$ m are uniformly applied on electrode and form phosphor layer about 20 μm [4, 5, 6, 7, 8] (Fig. 2.1).



Figure 2.1 Structure of PDP

Ideal phosphor requires abilities in excitation energy absorption, energy transfer efficiency, wide color region and stability against heat and chemicals.  $(Y,\text{Gd})BO_3:Eu^{3+}$  has the advantages of strong VUV absorption and high luminescent intensity. This is because energy transfer from VUV excitation light to  $Eu^{3+}$  luminescent center efficiently proceeds due to the existence of B-O bonds; B-O bonds can efficiently absorb VUV excitation light which  $Eu<sup>3+</sup>$  cannot directly absorb due to its energy level (Fig. 2.2) [9]. However,  $(Y,\text{Gd})BO_3:Eu^{3+}$  has disadvantages, too. Disadvantages of  $(Y,\text{Gd})BO_3:Eu^{3+}$  can be classified into two major types: originating from this material and originating from synthesis method. Disadvantage of  $(Y,\text{Gd})BO_3:Eu^{3+}$  originating from this material is poor chromaticity, that is,  $(Y,\text{Gd})BO_3:Eu^{3+}$  contains orange fluorescence. This is because (Y,Gd)BO3 host lattice has parity symmetry and therefore orange fluorescence produced by magnetic dipole transition is dominant. Deviance from color standard requires complicated control to produce

desired color. On the other hand, disadvantages of  $(Y,\text{Gd})BO_3:Eu^{3+}$  originating from synthesis method, solid-state reaction, are large size and non-uniform shape. These size and shape result from repetition of firing process at a high temperature above  $1000^{\circ}$  for some hours, and following grinding process.  $Eu^{3+}$  locating inside of phosphor particle where VUV excitation light does not reach cannot emit fluorescence and be wasted, however, phosphor particles smaller than micrometer order cannot be synthesized by solid-state reaction. In addition, large and non-uniform phosphors are difficult to apply in high packing density and to form flat surface with small amount of phosphors [10], which increases market price.



Figure 2.2 Emission process of  $(Y,\text{Gd})BO_3:Eu^{3+}$ 

To solve these problems, several synthesis methods have been proposed: sol-gel method [11], hydrothermal synthesis [12, 13], reaction crystallization [12, 14, 15], spray pyrolysis [16] and microwave heating [13]. In this research, reaction crystallization was applied. By reaction crystallization,  $(Y,\text{Gd})BO_3:Eu^{3+}$  microcrystals with uniform shape will be formed. Final objective of

this research is to synthesize  $(Y,\text{Gd})BO_3:Eu^{3+}$  microcrystals satisfying following requirements by reaction crystallization; 1. crystal size smaller than 300 nm, that of phosphors synthesized by solid-state reaction, 2. mono-dispersity whose C.V. is lower than 0.1, and 3. higher luminance and better chromaticity than those of crystals synthesized by solid-state reaction. However, firing process is required to change amorphous precursor into  $(Y,\text{Gd})BO_3:Eu^{3+}$  crystals when applying reaction crystallization though repetition of firing is not needed like solid-state reaction. Firing temperature and time in this research are fixed  $1000^{\circ}$ C and 6 hours, respectively. (Y,Gd)BO<sub>3</sub>:Eu<sup>3+</sup> will not melt in this firing condition because melting point of YBO<sub>3</sub> is about  $1650^{\circ}$  [17, 18]. However, melting point may decrease when  $(Y,\text{Gd})BO_3:Eu^{3+}$  microcrystals or nano-crystals are formed or when using additives functioning as flux.

Uniform microcrystals will shorten firing time, which will save the energy during firing. In addition, uniform microcrystals will allow making flat emission surface with less phosphor particles in high packing density, which will reduce market price. Moreover, uniform microcrystals will also improve their chromaticity. The ratio of surface area to particle volume will increase by minifying, which will increase surface effects such as roughness. Rough surface with low parity symmetry will show better chromaticity [11, 12, 13]. Chromaticity can also be improved by breaking parity symmetry around  $Eu^{3+}$  by incorporating impurity ions into host lattice [12, 13, 19].

2.1.2 Precursor before firing and product after firing possible to form in reaction crystallization of  $(Y,\text{Gd})BO_3:Eu^{3+}$ 

In reaction crystallization of  $(Y,\text{Gd})BO_3:Eu^{3+}$ , amorphous precursor is formed by mixing two raw materials, aqueous solutions of rare earth nitrate  $(RE(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>)$  and  $H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>$ , and following pH adjustment by basic pH adjuster such as  $NH<sub>3</sub>$ . Solubility of YBO<sub>3</sub> precursor is high in acidic conditions, however, it rapidly decreases as pH rises. Solution pH should be adjusted carefully to

form uniform microcrystals because rapid pH change causes mass nucleation, particle overgrowth and particle aggregation.

Amorphous precursor is changed into  $YBO_3$  by firing, however, by-products such as  $Y_3BO_6$ and  $Y_2O_3$  may be formed according to reaction condition.  $Y_3BO_6$  is likely to incorporate in such cases adding  $NH_3$  massively.  $Y_3BO_6$  incorporation often decreases luminance and chromaticity terribly though luminescent characteristics of  $Y_3BO_6$  itself has not been revealed. On the other hand,  $Y_2O_3$  is likely to form in such cases using strong base like NaOH massively.  $Y_2O_3$  shows opposite luminescent characteristics to YBO<sub>3</sub>: low luminance and good chromaticity. Low luminance of  $Y_2O_3$ results from low energy transfer efficiency from VUV excitation light to Eu<sup>3+</sup> luminescent center due to lack of B-O bonds absorbing VUV excitation light efficiently. Maximum luminance of  $Y_2O_3$  is 2/3 of commercial YBO<sub>3</sub> at the best. Meanwhile, good chromaticity of  $Y_2O_3$  results from lack of parity symmetry of  $Y_2O_3$  host lattice and therefore red fluorescence produced by electric dipole transition is dominant. XRD charts of  $YBO_3$ ,  $Y_3BO_6$  and  $Y_2O_3$  are shown in Fig. 2.3. XRD peak intensities of  $YBO_3$ ,  $Y_3BO_6$  and  $Y_2O_3$  are referred to PDF#00-016-0277, PDF#00-034-0291 and PDF#01-086-1107, respectively.



Figure 2.3 XRD charts of  $YBO_3$ ,  $Y_3BO_6$  and  $Y_2O_3$ 

## 2.1.3 Evaluation of  $(Y,\text{Gd})BO_3:Eu^{3+}$  characteristics

Phosphors for PDP require following major seven properties: luminance, chromaticity, afterglow, process-degradation resistance, lifetime, powder characteristics and electrical characteristics. In this research, we focused on two luminescent properties, luminance and chromaticity, among those seven properties. In addition, we focused on crystal shape, crystal size and crystal composition (crystallinity). The followings are evaluation methods of each property of  $(Y,\text{Gd})\text{BO}_3:\text{Eu}^{3+}$  phosphor.

(a) Crystal shape and crystal size

Commercial  $(Y,\text{Gd})BO_3:Eu^{3+}$  crystals synthesized by solid-state reaction have large size and non-uniform shape. Meanwhile,  $(Y,\text{Gd})BO_3:Eu^{3+}$  crystals synthesized by reaction crystallization are relatively small and relatively uniform but tend to aggregate. Mono-dispersed uniform microcrystals are desirable for making flat emission surface with less phosphor particles in high packing density.

Crystal shape and crystal size both before firing and after firing were observed by scanning electron microscope (SEM). By observing particles both before firing and after firing, whether

crystal shape and crystal size were maintained or not during firing was determined.

(b) Crystal composition (Crystallinity)

Precursor synthesized by reaction crystallization between  $RE(NO<sub>3</sub>)$ <sub>3</sub> and  $H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>$  is generally amorphous with non-uniform shape and non-uniform size. However, crystal precursor may be formed according to reaction condition, and crystal precursor will enable us to control crystal shape and crystal size more easily. On the other hand, main product after firing is generally  $YBO_3$ , the target material, however, by-product  $Y_3BO_6$  or  $Y_2O_3$  showing low luminance and/or poor chromaticity may be incorporated according to reaction condition. Host lattice of pure  $YBO<sub>3</sub>$ showing high crystallinity is required to achieve high luminance by efficient energy transfer.

Crystal composition (Crystallinity) was examined both before firing and after firing. Composition of product and crystallinity of each component were examined by X-ray diffraction (XRD). Crystallinity was determined from the strongest diffraction peak of each component.

(c) Luminance

 Luminance is the amount of light per unit area emitted from particular direction. Ideal white fluorescence is achieved by equalizing luminance of three primary colors. In addition, luminance of three primary colors is required to be strong enough to brighten display.

Luminance was measured by luminance and color meter, and relative luminance to commercial  $(Y,\text{Gd})BO_3:Eu^{3+}$  synthesized by solid-state reaction (NP-360-03, NICHIA CORPORATION) was calculated.

(d) Chromaticity

Chromaticity is mixing ratio of three primary colors and is determined from x-y chromaticity diagram (Fig. 2.4). Three primary colors are required to be as pure as possible to display desired colors by mixing them.

Chromaticity was measured in parallel with luminance by luminance and color meter.

13

Calculation process of chromaticity from x-y chromaticity diagram is as follows: 1. Distances of  $r_1$ and  $r_2$  are measured. Here,  $r_1$  is a distance between chromaticity coordinate of reference (commercial  $(Y, Gd)BO<sub>3</sub>: Eu<sup>3+</sup> synthesized by solid-state reaction)$  and chromaticity coordinate of ideal red, and r<sub>2</sub> is a distance between chromaticity coordinate of sample synthesized by reaction crystallization and chromaticity coordinate of ideal red. 2.  $1/r_1$  and  $1/r_2$  are calculated. 3. Relative chromaticity to commercial (Y,Gd)BO<sub>3</sub>:Eu<sup>3+</sup> is calculated by following equation: Relative chromaticity = (1/r<sub>2</sub>) /  $(1/r_1)\cdot 100$ 



Figure 2.4 x-y chromaticity diagram and calculation procedure of relative chromaticity

#### 2.2 Crystal characteristics of  $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$

 $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$  has the smallest formula weight and the highest solubility in water among alkaline-earth metal sulfates though it is categorized in poorly-soluble salts among all materials.  $CaSO<sub>4</sub> \cdot 2H<sub>2</sub>O$  is worthwhile to develop the method to synthesize mono-dispersed microcrystals successfully as well as poorly-soluble sulfates examined in previous studies [1, 2, 3] because of its

difficulty to control crystal nucleation and crystal growth, and to prevent aggregation.

Calcium sulfate anhydride has following three polymorphs. Polymorph  $III$  has hexagonal structure and is soluble in water. Polymorph II formed by heating polymorph III at  $300-600^{\circ}C$ has orthorhombic structure and is poorly-soluble in water. Polymorph I formed by heating polymorph II at 1150-1200°C has cubic structure and is stable in high temperature [20]. On the other hand, calcium sulfate also has pseudo-polymorphs with different hydration numbers. Calcium sulfate is usually crystallized as dihydrate  $(CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O)$  by reaction crystallization from water solution under ordinary temperature and ordinary pressure, however, sometimes another hydrate such as hemihydrate ( $CaSO_4 \cdot 0.5H_2O$ ) may be crystallized. Both anhydride polymorphs and hydrate polymorphs can have various crystal habits.

Polymorphs are essentially different from crystal habits. Polymorphs are substances with same chemical composition, which have various crystal structures. Morphologies are fundamentally different among polymorphs due to a difference of crystal phase, resulting in a difference of XRD charts. In addition, each polymorph has peculiar thermodynamically stable zone because physical and chemical properties are different among polymorphs. On the other hand, crystal habit is a difference of crystal shape resulting from the difference of growth rate of each crystal face. Growth rates of equivalent crystal faces can be different according to reaction conditions though targets are identical substances with the same number of constituent faces and identical ideal forms, resulting in a difference of crystal habit. Although crystal shape and crystal size are different among crystals showing different crystal habits, XRD peak positions are identical because chemical compositions, physical properties and chemical properties are identical among them. However, intensities of each diffraction peak are different among them because total area of each crystal face is different according to crystal habit.

Major diffraction peaks of  $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$  crystals in the range of 10-60° are (020), (021),

(130), (041), and (-221) peaks. Positions (2θ) of these peaks are 11.6°, 20.7°, 23.4°, 29.1°, and 31.1°, respectively (Fig. 2.5). Miller indices of these peaks were referred to PDF#00-033-0311. Concerning  $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$  crystals synthesized from raw material solutions with appropriate concentrations without additives, their typical morphologies are needle-like or plate-like (Fig. 2.6), and (020) diffraction peak is much stronger than any other peaks (Fig. 2.5). XRD absolute intensities of other diffraction faces are usually negligibly low. On the other hand, PDF#00-033-0311 has two strongest peaks, (020) diffraction peak and (021) diffraction peak, and differences of peak intensities among diffraction peaks are smaller than those synthesized by reaction crystallization (Fig. 2.7). These differences result from the difference of crystal habit caused by the difference of synthesis method or synthesis condition of  $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$ .



Figure 2.5 Typical XRD chart and major diffraction peaks of  $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$ synthesized by reaction crystallization



Figure 2.6 Typical CaSO<sub>4</sub>  $\cdot$  2H<sub>2</sub>O crystals synthesized by reaction crystallization



Figure 2.7 XRD chart of PDF#00-033-0311

Crystal structure of  $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$  and Miller index of each crystal face have been defined by several authors [21, 22, 23] (Fig. 2.8), however, some Miller indices defined by them do not correspond with JCPDS or major peaks on XRD charts. Therefore, crystal structure of  $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$ and Miller index of each crystal face are worthwhile to investigate.



Figure 2.8 Crystal structure and Miller indices of CaSO<sub>4</sub> ·  $2H_2O$ 

- (a) theoretical habit derived by Heijnen and Hartman
	- (b) observed habit from an aqueous solution

Synthesis of  $(Y, Gd)BO<sub>3</sub>:Eu<sup>3+</sup>$ 

mono-dispersed microcrystals

by reaction crystallization

3.1 Synthesis of  $(Y,\text{Gd})BO_3:Eu^{3+}$  mono-dispersed microcrystals by reaction crystallization using polyelectrolyte reaction field

#### 3.1.1 Introduction

In reaction crystallization of  $(Y,\text{Gd})BO_3:Eu^{3+}$  precursor by mixing raw materials, solutions of  $RE(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>$  and  $H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>$ , and following pH adjustment to basic state, amorphous precursor whose shape and size are not uniform is formed. These shape and size remain non-uniform after  $YBO_3$ precursor changed into YBO<sub>3</sub> crystal by firing. These shape and size of YBO<sub>3</sub> precursor and YBO<sub>3</sub> crystal were tried to be improved by applying polyelectrolyte reaction field.

In this research, polyelectrolytes having possibility to interact electrostatically with rare earth ion ( $RE^{3+}$ ), polyethyleneimine (PEI) and polyacrylic acid (PAA), were selected as candidates of polyelectrolyte reaction field. Average molecular weights of PEI and PAA are 70000 and 250000, respectively. Concerning PEI, not only non-acidified PEI but also acidified PEI was examined because acidified PEI is more likely to prevent overgrowth and aggregation of crystals than non-acidified PEI [1, 2, 3]. Effect of polyelectrolyte on precursor type, crystal shape and crystal size both before firing and after firing, and relative luminance and relative chromaticity after firing, were evaluated in reaction crystallization using polyelectrolyte reaction field, and suitable polyelectrolyte type and its reaction condition were discussed.

### 3.1.2 Experimental procedure

 $(Y,\text{Gd})BO_3:Eu^{3+}$  was synthesized by reaction crystallization in polyelectrolyte reaction field. Applied materials are shown in Table 3.1.1. PEI and PAA were used as polyelectrolyte reaction field. Polyelectrolytes were sufficiently dissolved into deionized water by stirring for more than 24 hours. 0.3 mol/L  $RE(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>$  solution and 0.3 mol/L  $H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>$  solution were used as raw materials.  $RE(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>$ solution was composed of  $Y(NO_3)_3 \cdot 6H_2O$ ,  $Gd(NO_3)_3 \cdot 6H_2O$  and  $Eu(NO_3)_3 \cdot 6H_2O$  mixed in a ratio

of 0.9 : 0.1 : 0.03, based on the previous research by Kee-Sun Sohn et. al. [24]. This mixing ratio can achieve the best fluorescent properties at the lowest material cost. Reaction crystallization was carried out in a batch reactor at room temperature.





PEI70000 (P-1000, EPOMIN) is provided by Nippon Shokubai.

 $N_2$  is applied as pressurization gas for pressure filtration.

 $(Y,\text{Gd})BO_3:Eu^{3+} (NP-360-03)$  is commercial red phosphor synthesized by solid-state reaction,

and is used as reference to calculate relative luminance and relative chromaticity.

500 mL of polyelectrolyte solution were put into 1 L beaker reactor. In the condition using acidified PEI, solution pH was adjusted below 3 by  $60\%$  HNO<sub>3</sub>. 100 mL of 0.3 mol/L  $RE(NO_3)$ <sub>3</sub> solution and 100 mL of 0.3 mol/L  $H_3BO_3$  solution were added in order, and stirred for 1 day after adding respectively. Through this operation  $YBO_3$  precursor was formed. In the condition using acidified PEI, pH adjuster was additionally added until pH was reached to 7 in order to precipitate YBO3 precursor and stirred for 20 min. Precursor slurry was separated into precipitate and supernatant by centrifugation (4000 rpm, 60 min). Precipitate was washed by adding deionized water to centrifuge bottle and shaking. Washed precursor was separated from suspension by pressured

filtration, and dried in oven at  $150^{\circ}$  for 24 hours. Dried precursor was ground in mortar and fired at  $1000^{\circ}$ C for 6 hours. Precursor transforms into YBO<sub>3</sub> by firing.

Crystal shape and crystal size were observed by SEM (VE-8800, KEYENCE), and composition and crystallinity were examined by XRD (Rint-UltimaIII, Rigaku) on synthesized samples before firing and after firing. In addition, luminance and chromaticity on samples after firing were determined by luminance and color meter (CS-200, Konica Minolta).

Whole synthesis procedure is shown in Fig. 3.1.1. This figure shows synthesis procedure becomes complicated and prolonged by introducing polyelectrolyte reaction field.



Figure 3.1.1 Synthesis procedure

#### 3.1.3 Results and discussions

 Experimental results before firing and after firing are shown in Table 3.1.2 and Table 3.1.3, respectively. At first, candidates of polyelectrolyte concentrations were widely selected from 1, 10, 50, 100 g/L. However, PEI whose concentration was over 50 g/L precipitated by reacting with  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$ acidifier or  $RE(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>$ . In addition, PAA whose concentration was over 10 g/L precipitated by reacting with  $RE(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>$ . Therefore, polyelectrolyte candidates were narrowed to following five types: 1 g/L non-acidified PEI, 10 g/L non-acidified PEI, 1 g/L acidified PEI, 10 g/L acidified PEI, and 1 g/L PAA.

	pH		Crystal shape and Crystal size	Precursor		
Polyelectrolyte		Initial Adjusted	Shape	Size	Type	Crystallinity
	$\overline{a}$	l-		$ \mu m $		[cps]
$1g/L$ non-acidified PEI	8.30	5.47	spherical microcrystals	$0.1 - 1$	$YB(OH)_{4}CO_{3}$	1284
10g/L non-acidified PEI 10.41		6.49	coarse crystals and aggregated microcrystals		$0.1 - 120$ YB(OH) <sub>4</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	475
$1g/L$ acidified PEI	2.83	7.04	coarse crystals and aggregated microcrystals	$0.1 - 50$	amorphous	360
$10g/L$ acidified PEI	2.86	7.01	coarse crystals and aggregated microcrystals	$0.1 - 30$	amorphous	372
$1g/L$ PAA	3.38	8.56	coarse crystals and aggregated microcrystals	$0.1 - 40$	amorphous	348
without polyelectrolyte	7	8.5	coarse crystals and aggregated microcrystals	$0.1 - 70$	amorphous	448

Table 3.1.2 Experimental results (before firing)

	Crystal shape and Crystal size	Crystallinity			Relative	Relative	
Polyelectrolyte	Shape	Size [µm]	$[\text{cps}]$	$[\text{cps}]$	$[\text{cps}]$	$\lceil\% \rceil$	$YBO_3$ $Y_3BO_6$ $Y_2O_3$ luminance chromaticity $\lceil\% \rceil$
$1g/L$ non-acidified PEI	spherical microcrystals	$0.2 - 1$	2672	287	$\theta$	34.4	95.0
$10g/L$ non-acidified PEI	coarse crystals and aggregated microcrystals	$0.2 - 40$	1322	499	1058	32.6	85.7
$1g/L$ acidified PEI	aggregated microcrystals 0.2-40 3548			$\theta$	$\theta$	93.8	97.1
$10g/L$ acidified PEI	aggregated microcrystals 0.2-50		3938	$\theta$	$\mathbf{0}$	89.9	97.2
$1g/L$ PAA	coarse crystals and aggregated microcrystals	$0.2 - 70$ 2306		247	$\theta$	55.8	92.2
without polyelectrolyte	coarse crystals and aggregated microcrystals	$0.1 - 50$ 2268		298	$\theta$	63.0	91.7

Table 3.1.3 Experimental results (after firing)

Examined polyelectrolytes can be classified into four types according to observed effects of polyelectrolytes and characteristics of synthesized phosphors: (a) 1 g/L non-acidified PEI, (b) 10 g/L non-acidified PEI, (c) 1 g/L acidified PEI and 10 g/L acidified PEI, and (d) 1 g/L PAA.

## (a) 1 g/L non-acidified PEI

In the case using 1 g/L non-acidified PEI, the smallest and the most uniform crystals were formed. In addition, not amorphous precursor but uniform  $YB(OH)_{4}CO_{3}$  microcrystals whose mean size was about 300 nm were formed before firing. This is supposed to be the result of pH history changing from weakly-basic condition to weakly-acidic condition assumed to be appropriate for synthesizing  $YB(OH)_{4}CO_{3}$  crystal precursor. Moreover, size and uniformity of these crystals were kept after  $YB(OH)_{4}CO_{3}$  changed to  $YBO_{3}$  by firing (Fig. 3.1.2).



Figure 3.1.2 SEM images of crystals synthesized with 1 g/L non-acidified PEI (a) before firing (b) after firing

Assumed mechanisms to form mono-dispersed YBO<sub>3</sub> microcrystals in the 1 g/L non-acidified PEI reaction field are as follows: 1. Coordination bond was formed between unshared electron pairs on N atoms in PEI and  $RE^{3+}$ , resulting in preventing nuclei aggregation. 2. Steric hindrance of PEI chains interrupts overgrowth of crystals, resulting in forming uniform microcrystals. 3. Remained PEI protects crystal surface, resulting in preventing adhesion and aggregation of crystals during firing. Through these mechanisms, 1 g/L non-acidified PEI will show significant suppressing effect on crystal growth and crystal aggregation, and will enable to form mono-dispersed  $YBO<sub>3</sub>$ microcrystals.

Formation of coordination bond between N atoms in PEI and  $RE<sup>3+</sup>$  was investigated by FT-IR (Nicolet 6700, Thermo SCIENTIFIC). IR absorbance around 1140 cm<sup>-1</sup> corresponding to C-N bond of amine in PEI disappeared when  $RE(NO<sub>3</sub>)$ <sub>3</sub> was added to PEI (Fig. 3.1.3). However, this IR absorbance remained when  $H_3BO_3$  was added to PEI (Fig. 3.1.4). This result indicates the state of C-N bond in PEI changed by forming coordination bond between N atoms in PEI and  $RE^{3+}$ .



Figure 3.1.3 IR chart of non-acidified PEI and  $RE(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>$ 



and enlargement in the range of 1500 - 1000 cm-1

Figure 3.1.4 IR chart of non-acidified PEI and  $H_3BO_3$ 

```
and enlargement in the range of 1500 - 1000 cm-1
```
Thus, 1 g/L non-acidified PEI is the most suitable for reaction field to synthesize mono-dispersed YBO<sub>3</sub> microcrystals among investigated conditions. However, low luminance and low yield had better be improved. Low luminance is ascribed not only to addition order of raw materials but also to remaining PEI inside of crystals; PEI attached on crystal surface are removed during firing, however, PEI taken in crystals can remain after firing and can decrease luminance by preventing energy transfer. Low yield results from mildly-acidic final pH in which solubility of  $YBO_3$  is relatively high. Yield of YBO<sub>3</sub> can be improved by raising final pH to around 8.5, the most suitable pH to synthesize  $YBO_3$  in relatively high yield without by-products.

#### (b) 10 g/L non-acidified PEI

When using 10 g/L non-acidified PEI,  $YB(OH)<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  crystals were formed before firing similarly to the case using 1 g/L non-acidified PEI. However, formed crystals were not uniform but mixture of coarse crystals and aggregated microcrystals both before firing and after firing (Fig. 3.1.5). This is because thick PEI interacts with itself by its intermolecular attractive force and suppressing effect on crystal growth and crystal aggregation does not work well. In addition, product after firing was a mixture of YBO<sub>3</sub>, Y<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>6</sub> and Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, showing low luminance and bad chromaticity. This is because reaction system is kept strong basic condition for a long time, and in such a condition  $RE^{3+}$  is likely to react not with  $BO_3^{3-}$  but with OH. Moreover, filtration time was extremely prolonged. This is because thick PEI increased water content of precursor as well as thick PEI blocked filter pore. From these reasons, 10 g/L non-acidified PEI is unsuitable for reaction field. These results show non-acidified PEI is favorable for reaction field to synthesize mono-dispersed YBO3 microcrystals, however, appropriate concentration of non-acidified PEI exists.



Figure 3.1.5 SEM images of crystals synthesized with 10 g/L non-acidified PEI (a) before firing (b) after firing

### (c) 1 g/L and 10 g/L acidified PEI

Acidified PEI is promising reaction field in reaction crystallization of  $(Y,\text{Gd})BO_3:Eu^{3+}$ because it has provided remarkable results on synthesis of mono-dispersed poorly-soluble sulfates microcrystals [1, 2, 3]. In the case using 1 g/L acidified PEI and 10 g/L acidified PEI, pure  $YBO<sub>3</sub>$ showing high luminance and good chromaticity was formed. This is because formation of by-products  $Y_2O_3$  resulting from direct reaction between  $RE^{3+}$  and OH is prevented in acidic condition. Therefore, high luminance and good chromaticity were led by not the presence of PEI but appropriate pH history. Although luminance and chromaticity were improved when using acidified PEI, precursor was coarse and aggregated amorphous similarly to the case without polyelectrolyte. YBO<sub>3</sub> crystals formed after firing were relatively uniform but aggregated (Fig. 3.1.6, 3.1.7). These results mean that acidified PEI is ineffective to prevent aggregation in  $YBO<sub>3</sub>$  system. In addition, when using 10 g/L acidified PEI, filtration time was extremely prolonged similarly to the case using 10 g/L non-acidified PEI.



Figure 3.1.6 SEM images of crystals synthesized with 1 g/L acidified PEI

(a) before firing (b) after firing



Figure 3.1.7 SEM images of crystals synthesized with 10 g/L acidified PEI (a) before firing (b) after firing

Interaction between N atoms in PEI and  $RE<sup>3+</sup>$  when using acidified PEI was investigated in the same way to the conditions using non-acidified PEI. However, IR absorbance around 1140 cm<sup>-1</sup> corresponding to C-N bond of amine in PEI disappeared when PEI was acidified by  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  (Fig. 3.1.8). Moreover, IR absorbance originating from PEI unchanged when  $RE(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>$  was added to PEI. This result indicates acidified PEI does not interact with *RE*3+.



Figure 3.1.8 IR chart on acidified PEI and  $RE(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>$ and enlargement in the range of 1600 - 900 cm-1

The reasons acidified PEI is ineffective for  $YBO<sub>3</sub>$  while it is effective for poorly-soluble sulfates containing Sr, Ba or Pb in previous studies are supposed to be as follows. Direct reaction between metal ions and non-acidified PEI immediately forms hydroxide precipitation. Although target materials will form by continuous stirring, it will take a long time to exchange OH- for target anion and forming crystals will be mixture of target compound and hydroxide with non-uniform shape and non-uniform size. However, acidified PEI prevents direct reaction between metal ions and unshared electron pairs on N atoms in PEI by blocking unshared electron pairs through formation of coordination bond between N atoms in PEI and  $H^+$ , and as a result, incorporation of hydroxide will be prevented. Above mechanisms are common regardless of metal ions, however, suppressing effect of acidified PEI on crystal growth and crystal aggregation works only for target materials containing relatively heavy metal ions such as Sr, Ba and Pb. In such cases, displacement reaction between  $H^+$  and metal ions occurs due to attractive force between metal ions and N atoms

in PEI to form coordination bond. Although unshared electron pairs on N atoms are masked by  $H^+$ , large difference of electronegativity between N atoms and relatively heavy metals drives this reaction. Through this displacement reaction, crystal nucleation of poorly-soluble sulfates will proceed mildly on N atoms in PEI, and crystal overgrowth and crystal aggregation will be prevented by steric hindrance of PEI chains. As a result, mono-dispersed microcrystals of target materials free from aggregation will be properly synthesized. On the other hand, relatively light metal ions like rare earth ions cannot displace  $H^+$  on N atom in acidified PEI due to weakness of coordination bond between PEI and metal ions and high stability of metal ions resulting from high solubility of target materials in acidic conditions. As a result, crystallization will occur everywhere similarly to the case without PEI reaction field and aggregated crystals will be formed though uniformity of crystal shape and crystal size may be slightly improved by steric hindrance of PEI chains. From above mechanisms, acidified PEI is ineffective for  $YBO<sub>3</sub>$ .

#### (d) 1 g/L PAA

When using 1 g/L PAA, white particle assumed to be PAA salt or micro amount of  $YBO<sub>3</sub>$ precipitated in acidic condition. This result suggests precipitation cannot be controlled by pH adjustment when using PAA. Moreover, PAA gelated and precipitated in basic condition. This result means PAA is deactivated in basic condition. Furthermore, crystal shape, crystal size and aggregation were not improved (Fig. 3.1.9) compared with the case polyelectrolyte reaction field was not used (Fig. 3.1.10). From these reasons, 1 g/L PAA is clearly unsuitable for reaction field.



Figure 3.1.9 SEM images of crystals synthesized with 1 g/L PAA

(a) before firing (b) after firing



Figure 3.1.10 SEM images of crystals synthesized without polyelectrolyte

(a) before firing (b) after firing

## 3.1.4 Conclusions

1 g/L non-acidified PEI reaction field enables to form uniform  $YB(OH)_{4}CO_{3}$  crystal precursor through its pH history changing from weakly-basic condition to weakly-acidic condition. Moreover, 1 g/L non-acidified PEI shows obvious suppressing effect on crystal growth and crystal aggregation not only during reaction crystallization but also during firing; 1 g/L non-acidified PEI prevents nuclei aggregation by forming coordination bond between unshared electron pairs on N atoms in PEI
and  $RE^{3+}$ , prevents overgrowth of crystals by its steric hindrance, and prevents adhesion and aggregation of crystals during firing by protecting crystal surface. On the other hand, suppressing effect of 10 g/L non-acidified PEI on crystal growth and crystal aggregation is insufficient because of self-interaction of thick PEI. In addition, acidified PEI shows no suppressing effect on crystal growth and crystal aggregation contrary to the cases synthesizing mono-dispersed microcrystals of poorly-soluble sulfates. Therefore, suitable concentration range and suitable pH range on PEI reaction field exist according to target materials.

#### 3.2 Identification of formation condition of  $YB(OH)_{4}CO_{3}$  crystal precursor

#### 3.2.1 Introduction

When using non-acidified PEI as reaction field,  $YB(OH)<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  crystal precursor formed nevertheless  $CO_3^2$  source was not added. Four possible reasons YB(OH)<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> crystal precursor formed in non-acidified PEI reaction field are as follows: 1. CO<sub>2</sub>, necessary as raw materials to form  $YB(OH)_{4}CO_{3}$ , was dissolved massively from air because reaction was started from basic state. 2. PEI showing basicity reacted with  $RE^{3+}$  before reacting with  $H_3BO_3$  due to adding order of raw materials, which promotes to form crystal precursor. 3. Final pH was slightly acidic state (pH 5-6), which offers appropriate supersaturation to form  $YB(OH)_{4}CO_{3}$  crystal precursor. 4. Precursor suspension was stirred for sufficiently long time (1 day) after reaching to final pH. Among these reasons, long stirring time is assumed to be necessary to form crystal precursor showing high crystallinity by dissolving  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  massively from air and reacting dissolved  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  with raw materials sufficiently. In this research, the condition to form  $YB(OH)_4CO_3$  crystal precursor was tried to be clarified. YBO<sub>3</sub> precursor was synthesized in various conditions changing addition order of raw materials, final pH and volume of water solvent.

#### 3.2.2 Experimental procedure

Applied materials and experimental conditions are shown in Table 3.2.1 and Table 3.2.2, respectively. 100 mL of 0.3 mol/L  $RE(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>$  solution and 100 mL of 0.3 mol/L H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> solution were used as raw materials, and 28% NH<sub>3</sub> was used as pH adjuster. Reaction crystallization was carried out in a batch reactor at room temperature.





 $N_2$  is applied as pressurization gas for pressure filtration.

 $(Y,\text{Gd})BO_3:Eu^{3+} (NP-360-03)$  is commercial red phosphor synthesized by solid-state reaction,

and is used as reference to calculate relative luminance and relative chromaticity.

No.		Deionized	NH <sub>3</sub>	Raw materials	pH	
		water	(28%)	added in first	Initial Final	
		$\lceil \text{mL} \rceil$	$\lceil \mathsf{mL} \rceil$		-1	-1
1		100	20	$RE(\text{NO}_3)_3 + H_3BO_3$	3.65	9.55
$\overline{2}$	basic	100	20	NH <sub>3</sub>	10.93	8.38
3	conditions	100	20	$NH3+H3BO3$	10.2	9.34
4		100	20	$NH_3+RE(NO_3)$	9.34	9.01
5		100	2.5	$RE(NO_3)_{3}+H_3BO_3$	3.87	6.13
6	mildly-acidic	100	2.5	NH <sub>3</sub>	12.46	6.8
7	conditions	100	2.5	$NH3+H3BO3$	10.29	6.83
8		100	2.5	$NH_3+RE(NO_3)$	7.03	6.07
9	mildly-acidic	500	2.5	$RE(NO_3)_{3}+H_3BO_3$	4.32	6.84
10	conditions	500	2.5	NH <sub>3</sub>	11.05	6.55
11	(diluted)	500	2.5	$NH3+H3BO3$	9.07	6.5
12		500	2.5	$NH3+RE(NO3)3$	6.8	6.43

Table 3.2.2 Experimental conditions

Synthesis procedure is shown in Fig. 3.2.1. Raw materials were added to pure water according to predetermined order and stirred for predetermined time. Precursor was separated from suspension by pressured filtration, and dried in oven at 150℃ for 24 hours. Dried precursor was ground in mortar and fired at 1000℃ for 6 hours.

Crystal shape and crystal size were observed by SEM (VE-8800, KEYENCE), and composition and crystallinity were examined by XRD (Rint-UltimaIII, Rigaku) on synthesized samples before firing and after firing. In addition, luminance and chromaticity on samples after firing were determined by luminance and color meter (CS-200, Konica Minolta).



Figure 3.2.1 Synthesis procedure

#### 3.2.3 Results and discussions

Experimental results before firing and after firing are shown in Table 3.2.3 and Table 3.2.4,

respectively.



### Table 3.2.3 Experimental results (before firing)



#### Table 3.2.4 Experimental results (after firing)

The results were examined by classifying into following two cases according to final pH: (a) maintaining basic conditions through reaction crystallization and (b) final pH was adjusted to mildly-acidic conditions. In the latter case, diluted condition was also discussed.

#### (a) In the cases maintaining basic conditions

 In the cases maintaining basic conditions through reaction crystallization, amorphous precursor was formed in all conditions regardless of addition order of raw materials. However, porous crystals were incorporated after firing (Fig. 3.2.2). This result suggests that precursor contains dissolved  $CO_2$  molecules and releases them during firing though YB(OH)<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> is not formed before firing. The mechanisms why  $YB(OH)_{4}CO_{3}$  is not formed in these cases are assumed to be as follows. In basic conditions, plenty of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  molecules are dissolved into precursor slurry and they are taken in precursor precipitation. However, because of low solubility of  $YBO<sub>3</sub>$  precursor in basic condition, nucleation and growth of YBO<sub>3</sub> precursor proceed too rapidly to form YB(OH)<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> crystal structure. As a result, amorphous precursor will be formed in all cases maintaining basic conditions.



Figure 3.2.2 Examples of porous crystals formed after firing

(b) In the cases final pH was adjusted to mildly-acidic conditions

 In the cases final pH was adjusted to mildly-acidic conditions, amorphous precursor was formed regardless of addition order of raw materials when using 100 mL of water solvent. However,  $YB(OH)<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  crystal precursor was formed when using 500 mL of water solvent. These results indicate that two requirements other than long stirring time are needed to form  $YB(OH)_{4}CO_{3}$  crystal precursor: final pH is sufficiently low (about 6) and volume of water solvent is sufficiently large (dilute condition).

The procedure to satisfy requirements to form  $YB(OH)_4CO_3$  crystal precursor is assumed to be

as follows. In mildly-acidic conditions, reaction of forming  $YBO<sub>3</sub>$  precursor proceeds mildly because solubility of YBO<sub>3</sub> precursor is relatively high. However, solubility of  $CO_2$  and abundance of  $CO_3^2$ in precursor slurry are too low to form  $YB(OH)_4CO_3$  crystal precursor. When starting from basic conditions,  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  is sufficiently dissolved in precursor slurry while the system is maintained in basic conditions. However, in the cases the volume of solvent water is small, amorphous precursor forms due to rapid reaction rate caused by high supersaturation. Therefore, the volume of solvent water must be increased to form  $YB(OH)<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  crystal precursor. By increasing the volume of water solvent, solubility of  $CO_2$  and abundance of  $CO_3^2$  in precursor increase even in the cases starting from acidic conditions. In addition, suspension density or concentrations of raw materials, in other words, supersaturation of  $YBO_3$  precursor decreases by increasing the volume of water solvent. This is important especially in the cases starting from basic conditions in which solubility of  $YBO<sub>3</sub>$ precursor is low. As a result, reaction of forming  $YBO<sub>3</sub>$  precursor will proceed mildly with enough  $CO_3^2$  to form YB(OH)<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> crystal precursor.

When volume of water solvent was 500 mL, not only  $YB(OH)<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  crystal precursor was formed before firing but also pure  $YBO_3$  showing high crystallinity and good chromaticity was formed after firing. Although crystallinity of  $YB(OH)<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  was relatively low in synthesis from acidic condition, it will be able to be improved by increasing of abundance of CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2</sup> by injecting CO<sub>2</sub> gas. However, in the cases  $RE(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>$  and NH<sub>3</sub> can react in first, crystal precursor assumed to be basic nitrate  $(Y_2(OH)_{5.14}(NO_3)_{0.86} \cdot H_2O)$  was incorporated before firing, and  $Y_2O_3$  showing low luminance was formed as main product after firing. This result means the conditions  $RE(NO<sub>3</sub>)$ <sub>3</sub> and  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  can react directly should be avoided to form YB(OH)<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> crystal precursor and pure YBO<sub>3</sub>. In terms of purity and chromaticity of  $YBO<sub>3</sub>$  after firing, the condition  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  and  $H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>$  are added in first to 500 mL of water solvent is the most appropriate procedure to synthesize  $YB(OH)_{4}CO_{3}$  crystal precursor.

 $YB(OH)_{4}CO_{3}$  crystal precursor was formed when following three requirements were satisfied: long stirring time, low final pH and large volume of water solvent. However, formed  $YB(OH)_{4}CO_{3}$ crystal precursor showed non-uniform shape and non-uniform size, and partly aggregated. These non-uniformity of shape and size, and aggregation were not improved after  $YB(OH)_{4}CO_{3}$  was changed into  $YBO_3$  by firing (Fig. 3.2.3). These results indicate some strategies such as PEI reaction field are necessary to improve crystal shape and crystal size, and to prevent aggregation. In addition, low yield of YBO<sub>3</sub> resulting from low final pH had better be improved.



Figure 3.2.3 Examples of aggregated crystals with non-uniform shape and size (a) before firing  $(YB(OH)_{4}CO_{3}$  crystal precursor) (b) after firing

Although crystal shape, crystal size, aggregation and yield must be improved, this result is remarkable with respects that  $YB(OH)_{4}CO_{3}$  crystal precursor and pure  $YBO_{3}$  can be formed without adding another reagent. This result is meaningful as an example that target materials likely to form as amorphous can be obtained as crystals with relatively-high purity. This synthesis method will be applicable to other systems intended to synthesize target materials without additives or to crystallize target materials usually formed as amorphous.

#### 3.2.4 Conclusions

 $YB(OH)_{4}CO_{3}$  crystal precursor forms without adding  $CO_{3}^{2}$  source when following three requirements are satisfied: long stirring time (1 day), low final pH (about 6) and large volume of water solvent (dilute condition). In addition, when  $YB(OH)_4CO_3$  crystal precursor is synthesized in mildly-acidic condition, pure  $YBO<sub>3</sub>$  showing high crystallinity and good chromaticity is formed after firing unless  $RE(NO_3)$ <sub>3</sub> and pH adjuster react directly. However, YB(OH)<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> precursor and YBO<sub>3</sub> after firing shows non-uniform shape and non-uniform size, and partly aggregates. This suggests importance of PEI reaction field to improve crystal shape and crystal size, and to prevent aggregation.

#### 3.3 Synthesis of YB(OH)<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> crystal precursor using Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> pH adjuster

#### 3.3.1 Introduction

The major cause of formation of mono-dispersed YBO<sub>3</sub> microcrystals when using 1  $g/L$ non-acidified PEI may be formation of  $YB(OH)<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  spherical microcrystals before firing rather than effects of PEI such as prevention of crystal aggregation and crystal overgrowth during reaction crystallization and during firing. Formation of mono-dispersed  $YB(OH)_{4}CO_{3}$  microcrystals as precursor without PEI reaction field will shorten reaction time and will simplify synthesis procedure. Confirming reaction condition to form crystal precursor certainly will enable us to control crystal size and crystal shape more easily not only in reaction crystallization but also in following processes. Such improvements of synthesis procedure will contribute to various materials likely to form as amorphous, not just for  $YBO<sub>3</sub>$  precursor.

It has been proved that  $YB(OH)_{4}CO_{3}$  crystal precursor forms without PEI reaction field and without adding  $CO_3^2$  source when following three requirements are satisfied: long stirring time (1) day), low final pH (about 6) and large volume of water solvent (dilute condition). However, both

 $YB(OH)_{4}CO_{3}$  precursor and  $YBO_{3}$  after firing showed non-uniform shape and non-uniform size, and aggregated. In addition, yield of YBO<sub>3</sub> was low because of reaction at low pH.

Without such complicated requirements,  $YB(OH)_4CO_3$  crystal precursor may be formed more easily and more certainly by adding  $CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2</sup>$  source. In addition, yield of YBO<sub>3</sub> may be improved by raising final pH in the presence of  $CO_3^2$  source.

In this research, Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> was newly applied as pH adjuster to form  $YB(OH)_{4}CO_{3}$  crystal precursor successfully by  $CO_3^2$  contained in Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. Additive amount of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> was standardized on molar ratio of B atom to C atom: B : C = 1 : 1. Concentration and amount of raw material  $H_3BO_3$ were 0.3 mol/L and 100 mL, respectively. Therefore, concentration and amount of pH adjuster Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> were standardized on 3 mol/L and 10 mL, respectively. Additive amount of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> was changed as 5, 10, 15, 20 mL. Reaction time was 20 min or 1 day. In some conditions,  $28\% \text{ NH}_3$  was also used as pH adjuster with  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  to improve yield by raising final pH to about 8.5.

#### 3.3.2 Experimental procedure

Applied materials and experimental conditions are shown in Table 3.3.1 and Table 3.3.2, respectively. 0.3 mol/L  $RE(NO_3)$ <sub>3</sub> and 0.3 mol/L  $H_3BO_3$  solution were used as raw materials. 3 mol/L Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and 28% NH<sub>3</sub> were used as pH adjuster. Reaction crystallization was carried out in a batch reactor at room temperature.

Reagent	Grade	Purity $[\%]$	Production company
$H_3BO_3$	special	99.5	Kanto Chemical Co. Inc
$Y(NO3)3·6H2O$	high purity	99.99	Kanto Chemical Co. Inc
$Gd(NO3)3·6H2O$ high purity		99.95	Kanto Chemical Co. Inc.
$Eu(NO3)3·6H2O$		99.9	Shin-Etsu Chemical Co., Ltd.
$Na_2CO_3$	special	99.8	Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd.
NH <sub>3</sub>	special	28.0-30.0	Kanto Chemical Co. Inc
$N_{2}$			Kotobuki Sangyo Co., Ltd.
$(Y, Gd)BO_3:Eu^{3+} NP-360-03$			Nichia Corporation

Table 3.3.1 Materials

N2 is applied as pressurization gas for pressure filtration.

 $(Y,\text{Gd})BO_3:Eu^{3+} (NP-360-03)$  is commercial red phosphor synthesized by solid-state reaction,

and is used as reference to calculate relative luminance and relative chromaticity.

pH adjuster		Final	<b>Stirring</b>	pH adjuster		Final	Stirring		pH adjuster	Final	Stirring
Type	Volume	pH	time	Type	Volume	pH	time	Type	Volume	pH	time
	[mL]	[-]			[mL]	[-]			$\lceil mL \rceil$	$\lceil - \rceil$	
Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	5		5.22 20 min	Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	5		9.05 20 min				
				NH <sub>3</sub>	5.5						
Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	10		5.25 20 min	$Na_2CO_3$	10		9.03 20 min				
				NH <sub>3</sub>	3.5						
$Na_2CO_3$	15		6.08 20 min	$Na_2CO_3$	15		9.07 20 min				
				NH <sub>3</sub>	2						
$Na_2CO_3$	20		8.38 20 min	$Na_2CO_3$	20		9.33 20 min				
				NH <sub>3</sub>							
$Na_2CO_3$	5	4.84	1 day	$Na_2CO_3$	5	8.46	1 day	$Na_2CO_3$	5	8.55	$1$ day
				NH <sub>3</sub>	5.5			NH <sub>3</sub>	5.5		$20 \text{ min}$
$Na_2CO_3$	10	5.21	1 day	$Na_2CO_3$	10	8.61	1 day	Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	10	8.81	1 day
				NH <sub>3</sub>	3.5			NH <sub>3</sub>	3.5		$20 \text{ min}$
$Na_2CO_3$	15	7.84	1 day	$Na_2CO_3$	15	8.67	1 day	$Na_2CO_3$	15	9.1	1 day
				NH <sub>3</sub>	$\overline{2}$			NH <sub>3</sub>	0.6		20 min
$Na_2CO_3$	20	8.41	1 day	$Na_2CO_3$	20	8.64	1 day	$Na_2CO_3$	20	8.5	1 day
				NH <sub>3</sub>				NH <sub>3</sub>	0.2		20 min

Table 3.3.2 Experimental conditions

Synthesis procedure is shown in Fig. 3.3.1. Raw materials,  $100$  mL of  $RE(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>$  and  $100$  mL of H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>, and predetermined volume of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> were added to 100 mL of pure water and stirred for predetermined time. In the conditions  $NH_3$  was combined with  $Na_2CO_3$ ,  $NH_3$  was added simultaneously with Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> or added after Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. Precipitate was separated from precursor slurry

by pressured filtration, and dried in oven at 150℃ for 24 hours. Dried precursor was ground in mortar and fired at 1000℃ for 6 hours.

Crystal shape and crystal size were observed by SEM (VE-8800, KEYENCE), and composition and crystallinity were examined by XRD (Rint-UltimaIII, Rigaku) on synthesized samples before firing and after firing. In addition, luminance and chromaticity on samples after firing were determined by luminance and color meter (CS-200, Konica Minolta).



Figure 3.3.1 Synthesis procedure

#### 3.3.3 Results and discussions

#### 3.3.3.1 General results

#### (a) pH history and yield

When  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$  only was used as pH adjuster, precursor started to precipitate around pH 5, and did not dissolve again once pH reached to buffering area: pH 5.1-5.6. Although precursor started to precipitate at mildly-acidic condition around pH 5 when using  $NH_3$  only, precursor slurry was clearly dilute and dissolved again by continuous stirring at the same pH. This result indicates that  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  makes precursor start and finish precipitating at lower pH than the cases using NH<sub>3</sub>. Although thick slurry was formed when using  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$ , yield of precursor remained low because of high solubility of  $YBO<sub>3</sub>$  precursor at low pH.

On the other hand, when combining  $NH_3$  with  $Na_2CO_3$ , yield of precursor was improved in all conditions. In addition, pH change when adding  $NH_3$  was different by additive timing of  $NH_3$  in the cases additive amount of  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  was over 15 mL; pH increased rapidly by a few drop of NH<sub>3</sub> in the cases NH<sub>3</sub> was added after Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> stirred for 1 day, however, pH did not increase easily in the cases  $NH_3$  was added simultaneously with Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. In the cases NH<sub>3</sub> was added simultaneously with  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$ , additive amount of NH<sub>3</sub> required to raise solution pH to 8.5 was two times as much as that in the cases  $NH_3$  was added after  $Na_2CO_3$  stirred for 1 day.

#### (b) Separation property

Filtration speed was greatly improved when using  $Na_2CO_3$  only. It took more than 24 hours for pressure filtration of 300 mL precursor slurry when using NH3 only, and in addition, it took further time when precursor was synthesized at lower pH around 7. On the other hand, it took about 1 hour for pressure filtration of 300 mL precursor slurry when using  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  only, and in addition, it took less time when stirring for 1 day: some minutes.

However, when  $NH_3$  was combined with  $Na_2CO_3$ , filtration speed was fast only in the cases additive amount of  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  was over 15 mL. Filtration speeds of other cases were almost the same when using  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  only as pH adjuster. In other words, filtration speed was decreased when additive amount of  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  was decreased and additive amount of  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  was increased. At the same time, precursor changed from opaque white to translucent and hardened like the cases  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  only was used. These results are attributed to difference of water content in precursor, that is,  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  decreases water content in precursor and increases filtration speed, while  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  increases water content in precursor and decreases filtration speed.

#### (c) Precursor type

When using  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  as pH adjuster, YB(OH)<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> crystal precursor was formed in almost all conditions. This is because  $CO_3^2$  exists from beginning of reaction incomparably greater than the conditions relying on  $CO_2$  natural dissolution. Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> pH adjuster is very useful to improve flexibility of synthesis condition considering  $YB(OH)<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  crystal precursor is only formed in specific conditions when using  $NH_3$  pH adjuster or using PEI reaction field.

#### (d) Density of precursor and product after firing

Precursor was further bulky only when using 20 mL of  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$ , however, this precursor shrank during firing and bonded to crucible. This phenomenon is ascribed to composition difference of product before and after firing changed by additive amount of  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$ .

In the cases  $NH_3$  was combined with  $Na_2CO_3$ , density of precursor was also different by additive timing of NH<sub>3</sub>; precursor became more bulky when  $Na_2CO_3$  and NH<sub>3</sub> were simultaneously added. This phenomenon was remarkable in the cases additive amount of  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  was less than 10 mL.

(e) Another by-product:  $Na<sub>2</sub>Y<sub>2</sub>B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>$ 

 $Y_3BO_6$  and  $Y_2O_3$  are known as by-products in reaction crystallization of YBO<sub>3</sub>. When using Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> as pH adjuster, another by-product assumed to be Na<sub>2</sub>Y<sub>2</sub>B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> was sometimes incorporated. An example of XRD chart of sample containing  $Na_2Y_2B_2O_7$  and JCPDS of  $Na_2Y_2B_2O_7$ (PDF#00-054-1118) are shown in Fig. 3.3.2. Influence of  $Na_2Y_2B_2O_7$  on luminance and chromaticity has been uncertain, however, synthesis condition preventing incorporation of  $Na_2Y_2B_2O_7$  will be desirable unless it improves luminance and/or chromaticity.



Figure 3.3.2 An example of XRD chart of sample containing  $Na<sub>2</sub>Y<sub>2</sub>B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>$ and JCPDS of Na<sub>2</sub>Y<sub>2</sub>B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> (PDF#00-054-1118)

#### 3.3.3.2 Analysis of results classified by pH adjuster and stirring time

The results are examined by classifying into following four categories according to pH adjuster and stirring time: (a)  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  only, stirring for 20 min, (b)  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  and NH<sub>3</sub>, stirring for 20 min, (c) Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> only, stirring for 1 day, and (d) Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub>, stirring for 1 day. In last category, following two cases were examined: the case  $Na_2CO_3$  and  $NH_3$  were added simultaneously and

stirred for 1 day, and the case NH<sub>3</sub> was added after Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> stirred for 1 day and stirred another 20 min.

(a)  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  only, stirring for 20 min

Experimental results in the cases  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  only was used and stirred for 20 min are shown in Table 3.3.3 and Table 3.3.4. SEM images of crystals before firing and after firing are shown in Fig. 3.3.3.

pH adjuster		Final	Stirring	<b>Before firing</b>		After firing	
Type	Volume	pH time		Shape	<b>Size</b>	Shape	Size
	mL	I – I			[µm]		[ $\mu$ m]
Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	5		5.22 20 min	coarse crystals and	$0.05 - 60$	aggregated microcrystals	$0.1 - 50$
				aggregated microcrystals		(partly coarse)	
Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	10		5.25 20 min	coarse crystals and	$0.1 - 80$	porous crystals and	$0.3 - 60$
				aggregated microcrystals		aggregated microcrystals	
$Na_2CO_3$	15		6.08 20 min	coarse crystals and	$0.05 - 40$	aggregated microcrystals 0.1-10	
				aggregated microcrystals			
$Na_2CO_3$	20	8.38	$20 \text{ min}$	plate-like coarse crystals	$0.05 - 20$	coarse crystals and	$0.1 - 40$
				and microcrystals		aggregated microcrystals	

Table 3.3.3 Experimental results (crystal shape and crystal size)

Table 3.3.4 Experimental results (crystallinity, relative luminance and relative chromaticity)

	pH adjuster	Final	Stirring	Crystallinity Crystallinity (after firing)					Relative	Relative
Type	Volume pH		time	(before firing) $YBO_3$ $Y_3BO_6$ $Y_2O_3$ $Na_2Y_2B_2O_7$ luminance chromaticity						
	[mL]	$\lceil - \rceil$		cps	cps	cps	cps	cps	$\lceil\% \rceil$	$\left[\%\right]$
$Na_2CO_3$	5.		5.22 20 min	738	474	731	5478	$\theta$	65.1	156
$Na_2CO_3$	10		5.25 20 min	525	$\theta$	342	5298	423	72.5	186.6
$Na_2CO_3$	15		6.08 20 min	488	$\theta$	$\theta$	4769	456	82.1	158.3
$Na_2CO_3$	20		8.38 20 min	415	$\theta$	$\theta$	2382	$\theta$	3.6	25.1



Figure 3.3.3 SEM images of crystals

Additive amount of  $Na_2CO_3$  is (1) 5 mL, (2) 10 mL, (3) 15 mL, and (4) 20 mL.

(a) before firing (b) after firing

In the cases Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> was only used as pH adjuster and stirred for 20 min, YB(OH)<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> precursor composed of coarse crystals and aggregated microcrystals was formed regardless of additive amount of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. Crystallinity of YB(OH)<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> decreased as additive amount of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> increased. Main product after firing was  $Y_2O_3$  comprised mainly of aggregated microcrystals. Amount of formed YBO<sub>3</sub> and Y<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>6</sub> was very few, and decreased as additive amount of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> increased. This result indicates  $CO_3^2$  or OH is more likely to be taken in precursor than BO<sub>3</sub><sup>3</sup> at the beginning of reaction when using  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  as pH adjuster.

Maximum luminance of  $Y_2O_3$ , main product in these cases, is 2/3 of commercial YBO<sub>3</sub> at the best because  $Y_2O_3$  does not have B atom helping energy transfer. On the other hand, chromaticity of  $Y_2O_3$  is superior to  $YBO_3$  because red luminescence is dominant contrary to  $YBO_3$  which orange luminescence is dominant. In fact, chromaticity of these phosphors whose main product is  $Y_2O_3$  was improved. Moreover, luminance of these phosphors was over  $70\%$  of commercial YBO<sub>3</sub> nevertheless main product was  $Y_2O_3$ . Luminance increased as additive amount of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> increased, and reached to 82.1% when 15 mL of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> was added, while good chromaticity of  $Y_2O_3$  was maintained. This is assumed to be the result that energy transfer efficiency was improved by incorporating B atom slightly not to decrease chromaticity by forming of  $Y_3BO_6$ . This result suggests  $Y_2O_3$  showing better luminance is able to be synthesized in the cases  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  is only used and stirred for 20 min. However, luminance and chromaticity was drastically decreased when additive amount of  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$ reached to 20 mL. In addition, sticky paste-like crystals were formed in the case using 20 mL of  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$ , which indicates decrease of chemical resistance.

#### (b)  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  and  $NH<sub>3</sub>$ , simultaneous addition, stirring for 20 min

Experimental results in the cases  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  and NH<sub>3</sub> were added simultaneously and stirred for 20 min are shown in Table 3.3.5 and Table 3.3.6. SEM images of crystals before firing and after

firing are shown in Fig. 3.3.4.

pH adjuster		Final	Stirring	Before firing		After firing	
Type	Volume	pH	time	<b>Size</b> Shape		Shape	<b>Size</b>
	[mL]	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$			[µm]		[µm]
Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	5		$9.05$ 20 min	coarse crystals and		0.05-70 aggregated microcrystals 0.2-15	
NH <sub>3</sub>	5.5			aggregated microcrystals			
Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	10	9.03	$20 \text{ min}$	coarse crystals and		0.05-30 aggregated microcrystals 0.1-10	
NH <sub>3</sub>	3.5			aggregated microcrystals			
Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	15			coarse crystals and			
NH <sub>3</sub>	2	9.07	$20 \text{ min}$	aggregated microcrystals	$0.05 - 30$	aggregated microcrystals 0.1-30	
Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	20		9.33 20 min	coarse crystals and	$0.1 - 50$	coarse crystals and	
NH <sub>3</sub>				aggregated microcrystals		aggregated microcrystals	$0.2 - 60$

Table 3.3.5 Experimental results (crystal shape and crystal size)

Table 3.3.6 Experimental results (crystallinity, relative luminance and relative chromaticity)

	pH adjuster	Final	Stirring	Crystallinity (after firing) Crystallinity					Relative	Relative
Type	Volume	pH	time	(before firing)						$YBO_3$ $Y_3BO_6$ $Y_2O_3$ $Na_2Y_2B_2O_7$ luminance chromaticity
	[mL]	[-]		cps	cps	cps	cps	cps	[%]	[%]
Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	5	9.05	$20 \text{ min}$	759	3053	400	$\theta$	338	66.6	89.6
NH <sub>3</sub>	5.5									
Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	10	9.03	$20 \text{ min}$	49982	1613	1481	$\theta$	579	32.8	78.6
NH <sub>3</sub>	3.5									
Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	15	9.07	$20 \text{ min}$	1395	388	429	4984	1253	48.2	123.8
NH <sub>3</sub>	2									
Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	20									
NH <sub>3</sub>		9.33	$20 \text{ min}$	940	$\theta$	$\theta$	3393	$\theta$	2.7	21.8



Figure 3.3.4 SEM images of crystals

Additive amount of  $Na_2CO_3$  is (1) 5 mL, (2) 10 mL, (3) 15 mL, and (4) 20 mL.

(a) before firing (b) after firing

In the cases  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$  and  $\text{NH}_3$  were added simultaneously and stirred for 20 min, crystallinity of YB(OH)<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> wholly increased compared to the cases Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> was only used while crystal shape was similar to those cases. However, correlation between crystallinity of YB(OH)<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and additive amount of  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  was unclear.

Main product after firing was varied according to additive amount of  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$ , which was different result from the cases  $Na_2CO_3$  only was used. Main product after firing changed from YBO<sub>3</sub> (and  $Y_3BO_6$ ) to  $Y_2O_3$  as additive amount of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> increased from 5 mL to 15 mL. When 20 mL of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> was added, almost pure Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> formed. As additive amount of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> decreased, in other words, additive amount of  $NH_3$  increased, products containing B atom tended to form after firing.

At beginning of reaction (or in short-stirring conditions),  $CO_3^2$  and OH<sup>-</sup> tended to be taken in precursor in the cases  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$  was used as pH adjuster. However, when  $\text{NH}_3$  was combined with  $Na_2CO_3$ , crystallinity of YB(OH)<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> increased before firing and products containing B atom like YBO<sub>3</sub> or Y<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>6</sub> were likely to form after firing. There results indicate NH<sub>3</sub> promotes BO<sub>3</sub><sup>3-</sup> intake and corrects abundance ratio between  $BO_3^3$  and  $CO_3^2$  or OH in precursor. As a result, not only crystallinity of  $YB(OH)<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  precursor will increase but also main product after firing will change from  $Y_2O_3$  to  $YBO_3$ .

When  $NH_3$  was combined with  $Na_2CO_3$ , luminance and chromaticity were decreased compared to the cases Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> only was used. Main product changed from  $Y_2O_3$  to  $Y_3BO_6$  and  $YBO<sub>3</sub>$  as additive amount of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> was decreased, however, tendencies of low luminance and poor chromaticity were unchanged. Even in the case using 5 mL of  $Na_2CO_3$  with NH<sub>3</sub>, product after firing showed low luminance and poor chromaticity considering main product was YBO<sub>3</sub>.

#### (c)  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  only, stirring for 1 day

Experimental results in the cases  $Na_2CO_3$  only was used and stirred for 1 day are shown in

Table 3.3.7 and Table 3.3.8. SEM images of crystals before firing and after firing are shown in Fig. 3.3.5.

pH adjuster		Final		<b>Before firing</b>		After firing	
Type	Volume $\lceil mL \rceil$	pH l –	Stirring time	Shape	<b>Size</b> [ $\mu$ m]	Shape	<b>Size</b> $ \mu m $
Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	5	4.84	1 day	spherical microcrystals	$0.1 - 1$	aggregated microcrystals	$0.2 - 2$
Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	10	5.21	$1$ day	spherical microcrystals and coarse crystals	$0.1 - 40$	aggregated microcrystals	$0.1 - 3$
$Na_2CO_3$	15	7.84	1 day	discoid microcrystals and spherical microcrystals	$0.1 - 1$	aggregated microcrystals	$0.1 - 4$
$Na_2CO_3$	20	8.41	1 day	coarse crystals and aggregated microcrystals	$0.2 - 50$	aggregated spherical microcrystals	$0.3 - 30$

Table 3.3.7 Experimental results (crystal shape and crystal size)

Table 3.3.8 Experimental results (crystallinity, relative luminance and relative chromaticity)

pH adjuster		Final		Crystallinity		Crystallinity (after firing)			Relative	Relative
Type	Volume pH		<b>Stirring</b> time	(before firing)						YBO <sub>3</sub> Y <sub>3</sub> BO <sub>6</sub> Y <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> Na <sub>2</sub> Y <sub>2</sub> B <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub> luminance chromaticity
	[mL]	$\vert$ – $\vert$		cps	cps	cps	cps	cps	$\lceil\% \rceil$	$[\%]$
$Na_2CO_3$	5	4.84	1 day	1288	2724	290	$\mathbf{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	78.6	101.7
$Na_2CO_3$	10	5.21	1 day	1463	2983	328	$\theta$	$\Omega$	82.5	98.7
$Na_2CO_3$	15	7.84	1 day	1125	2195	1089	$\theta$	258	50.6	89.9
Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	20	8.41	1 day	384	$\theta$	$\theta$	3739	218	34.6	128.7



Figure 3.3.5 SEM images of crystals

Additive amount of  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  is (1) 5 mL, (2) 10 mL, (3) 15 mL, and (4) 20 mL.

(a) before firing (b) after firing

In the cases  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$  only was used and stirred for 1 day,  $\text{YB(OH)}_4\text{CO}_3$  spherical microcrystals without aggregation were formed when additive amount of  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  was less than 15 mL. The reason spherical microcrystals are unlikely to aggregate is ascribed to one of characteristics of sphere with the least surface area. Irregularly-shaped crystals were likely to incorporate as additive amount of  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  increased. When 20 mL of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> was used, coarse crystals and aggregated microcrystals composed of YB(OH)<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> precursor with uncertain formula were formed. XRD chart of precursor containing  $YB(OH)_{4}CO_{3}$  and  $Y_{2}O_{3}$  precursor with uncertain formula and JCPDS of  $YB(OH)_{4}CO_{3}$  (PDF#00-040-0508) are shown in Fig. 3.3.6. Crystallinity of  $YB(OH)_{4}CO_{3}$  was increased compared with the cases stirring for 20 min except for the case additive amount of  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$ was 20 mL. These results were ascribed to increase of  $BO_3^3$  amount in precursor caused by increase of stirring time and consequent correction of ion balance of  $BO_3^3$  to  $CO_3^2$  and OH. Main product after firing was YBO<sub>3</sub> in the conditions YB(OH)<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> spherical microcrystals were formed before firing; additive amount of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> was less than 15 mL. However, Y<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>6</sub> were incorporated in all conditions. This result suggests incorporation of  $Y_3BO_6$  is inevitable when using Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. Amount of  $Y_3BO_6$  was increased as additive amount of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> was increased, and only  $Y_2O_3$  was formed when using 20 mL of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. Crystal size was mostly maintained during firing in the conditions  $YB(OH)<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  spherical microcrystals were formed before firing. This result means formation of  $YB(OH)<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  spherical microcrystals before firing is essential to form  $YBO<sub>3</sub>$  microcrystals after firing. However, crystals changed their shape from spherical into angular and aggregated by firing. This result indicates PEI reaction field or PEI addition during firing is necessary to maintain crystal shape and to prevent aggregation though formation of mono-dispersed  $YB(OH)_{4}CO_{3}$  spherical microcrystals before firing is the most important to form mono-dispersed  $YBO<sub>3</sub>$  microcrystals after firing. Crystallinity of YBO<sub>3</sub> after firing was correlated with crystallinity of YB(OH)<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> before firing, that is, crystallinity after firing increased as crystallinity before firing increased.



Figure 3.3.6 An example of XRD chart containing  $YB(OH)_{4}CO_{3}$  and  $Y_{2}O_{3}$  precursor with uncertain formula and JCPDS of YB(OH)<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (PDF#00-040-0508)

Luminance was maximized when using 10 mL of  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$ . Crystallinity before firing was also maximized when using 10 mL of  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$ , the same condition as luminance was maximized. This result suggests high crystallinity improves energy transfer efficiency, that is, high crystallinity improves luminance. On the other hand, chromaticity was maximized when using 5 mL of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. This result is ascribed to decrease of parity symmetry of  $YBO<sub>3</sub>$  after firing by lattice defect formed by disproportion of B/C. Similar mechanism is assumed to work when using 15 mL of  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$ , however, decrease of luminance and chromaticity caused by  $Y_3BO_6$  is greater than chromaticity improvement by decrease of parity symmetry in this case. Maximum chromaticity was superior to the value of solid-state reaction and that of the cases  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  only was used. This result suggests YBO<sub>3</sub> forming in the cases using  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  inherits better chromaticity, the characteristics of  $Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  forming mainly in the cases stirring time is relatively short.

(d)  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  and NH<sub>3</sub>, stirring for 1 day

Experimental results in the cases  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  and  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  were adding simultaneously and stirred for 1 day are shown in Table 3.3.9 and Table 3.3.10. SEM images of crystals before firing and after firing are shown in Fig.  $3.3.7$ . At the same time, experimental results in the cases NH<sub>3</sub> was added after Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> stirred for 1 day and stirred for another 20 min are shown in Table 3.3.11 and Table 3.3.12. SEM images of crystals before firing and after firing are shown in Fig. 3.3.8.

pH adjuster		Final		<b>Before firing</b>		After firing	
Type	Volume	pH	Stirring	Shape	<b>Size</b>	Shape	Size
	mL	$1 - \mu$	time		$\lceil \mu m \rceil$		$\mu$ m
Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	5	8.46		coarse crystals and	$0.05 - 70$	aggregated microcrystals	$0.2 - 10$
NH <sub>3</sub>	5.5		1 day	aggregated microcrystals		(partly needle-like)	
Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	10				$0.05 - 4$		
NH <sub>3</sub>	3.5	8.61	1 day	spherical microcrystals		aggregated microcrystals 0.2-10	
Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	15			spherical microcrystals and		aggregated microcrystals	
NH <sub>3</sub>	2	8.67	1 day	discoid microcrystals	$0.1 - 10$	(partly needle-like)	$0.5 - 10$
Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	20					microcrystals	
NH <sub>3</sub>			8.64 1 day	plate-like microcrystals	$0.1 - 15$	(partly aggregated)	$0.1 - 5$

Table 3.3.9 Experimental results (crystal shape and crystal size)

Table 3.3.10 Experimental results (crystallinity, relative luminance and relative chromaticity)

	pH adjuster	Final		Crystallinity		Crystallinity (after firing)			Relative	Relative
Type	Volume	pH	Stirring time	(before firing)	YBO <sub>3</sub>	$Y_3BO_6$				$Y_2O_3$ Na <sub>2</sub> $Y_2B_2O_7$ luminance chromaticity
	[mL]	[-]		cps	cps	cps	cps	cps	[%]	$\lceil\% \rceil$
Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	5	8.46	1 day	604	2144	390	$\mathbf{0}$	$\theta$	60.5	91.5
NH <sub>3</sub>	5.5									
Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	10	8.61		1572	3133	370	$\mathbf{0}$	$\theta$	74.3	95.3
NH <sub>3</sub>	3.5		1 day							
Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	15									
NH <sub>3</sub>	2	8.67	1 day	898	2083	488	$\mathbf{0}$	$\theta$	57.5	93
Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	20									
NH <sub>3</sub>		8.64	1 day	783	$\theta$	418	2555	1512	32.6	89.1



Figure 3.3.7 SEM images of crystals

Additive amount of  $Na_2CO_3$  is (1) 5 mL, (2) 10 mL, (3) 15 mL, and (4) 20 mL.

(a) before firing (b) after firing

pH adjuster		Final		<b>Before firing</b>		After firing	
Type	Volume	pH	Stirring	Shape	<b>Size</b>	Shape	Size
	mL	$\blacksquare$	time		$\lceil \mu m \rceil$		[ $\mu$ m]
Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	5	8.55	day	spherical microcrystals and		0.05-20 aggregated microcrystals 0.4-10	
NH <sub>3</sub>	5.5	$20 \text{ min}$		aggregated microcrystals			
Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	10	1 day 8.81		spherical microcrystals	$0.05 - 5$	aggregated microcrystals	$0.1 - 2$
NH <sub>3</sub>	3.5		$20 \text{ min}$	and coarse crystals			
Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	15	9.1	1 day		$0.1 - 4$		
NH <sub>3</sub>	0.6		$20 \text{ min}$	spherical microcrystals		microcrystals	$0.1 - 5$
Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	20		1 day	plate-like and		aggregated microcrystals	$0.2 - 15$
NH <sub>3</sub>	0.2	8.5 $20 \text{ min}$		needle-like crystals	$0.1 - 15$	(partly needle-like)	

Table 3.3.11 Experimental results (crystal shape and crystal size)

Table 3.3.12 Experimental results (crystallinity, relative luminance and relative chromaticity)

pH adjuster		Final	<b>Stirring</b>	Crystallinity	Crystallinity (after firing)				Relative	Relative
Type	Volume	pH	time	(before firing)	YBO <sub>3</sub>	$Y_3BO_6$				$Y_2O_3$ Na <sub>2</sub> $Y_2B_2O_7$ luminance chromaticity
	[mL]	[-]		[cps]	cps	cps	cps	[cps]	[%]	$[\%]$
Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	5	8.55	1 day	484	3083	289	$\theta$	$\theta$	80.5	95.4
NH <sub>3</sub>	5.5		$20 \text{ min}$							
Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	10	8.81	1 day	756	3878	247	$\theta$	246	82.4	95.8
NH <sub>3</sub>	3.5		$20 \text{ min}$							
Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	15	9.1	1 day	1233	3188	319	$\theta$	$\Omega$	75.5	93.8
NH <sub>3</sub>	0.6		$20 \text{ min}$							
Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	20	8.5	1 day	931	398	1408	$\theta$	1128	5.2	37.7
NH <sub>3</sub>	0.2		$20 \text{ min}$							



Figure 3.3.8 SEM images of crystals

Additive amount of  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  is (1) 5 mL, (2) 10 mL, (3) 15 mL, and (4) 20 mL.

(a) before firing (b) after firing

In the cases  $NH_3$  was combined with  $Na_2CO_3$  and stirred for 1 day, YB(OH)<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> crystal precursor was formed regardless of additive timing of NH3. Uniform spherical microcrystals showing relatively high crystallinity were likely to form in the range of additive amount of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> from 10 mL to 15 mL. On the other hand, in the cases 5 mL of  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$  was added, non-uniform coarse crystals were incorporated and precursor showed lowest crystallinity among these cases. This is because fundamental lack of  $CO_3^2$  prevents formation of YB(OH)<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> crystals. In the cases 20 mL of  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$  was added, irregular-shaped crystals such as needle-like crystals or plate-like crystals were formed.

In almost all cases additive amount of  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  is less than 15 mL, crystallinity of  $YB(OH)_{4}CO_{3}$  tended to be lower than that of the cases  $Na_{2}CO_{3}$  only was used. The reasons of precursor crystallinity decrease are as follows. In the cases  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  and  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  are added simultaneously, rapid nucleation and rapid growth continuing from beginning of reaction will form precursor with relatively large size. However, at the same time, not also microparticles resulting from mass nucleation or secondary nucleation but also amorphous particles will incorporate due to excessively rapid reaction rate, which will decrease precursor crystallinity. On the other hand, in the cases NH<sub>3</sub> was added after Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, YB(OH)<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> crystals already formed before adding NH<sub>3</sub> must have uniform spherical shape and show high crystallinity like the cases  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  only is used and stirred for 1 day. However, already-formed YB(OH)<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> crystals will be destroyed by pH increase caused by  $NH_3$  addition. Destroyed YB(OH)<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> crystals and unreacted raw materials will precipitate together as amorphous precursor due to high supersaturation produced by NH<sub>3</sub>. Though spherical microcrystals remains because of short stirring time after adding NH<sub>3</sub>, destruction of already-formed  $YB(OH)<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  crystals and incorporation of amorphous precursor will decrease precursor crystallinity.

When precursor crystallinity was compared by additive timing of  $NH<sub>3</sub>$ , precursor formed in

the cases  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$  and  $\text{NH}_3$  were added simultaneously showed higher crystallinity in the range of additive amount of  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$  was less than 10 mL. In contrast, precursors formed in the cases  $\text{NH}_3$ was added after Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> tended to show higher crystallinity in the range of additive amount of  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  was more than 15 mL, and this crystallinity was also higher than that of the cases Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> only was used. The mechanisms providing these results are assumed to be as follows. Although  $NH<sub>3</sub>$ added after Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> promotes crystallization of unreacted H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>, whether precursor crystallinity will increase or decrease is decided by concentration of unreacted raw materials. For example, unreacted raw materials will precipitate rapidly and form amorphous precursor when concentrations of unreacted raw materials are high like the case 5 mL of  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  is used. On the other hand, unreacted raw materials will precipitate slowly and form  $YB(OH)_{4}CO_{3}$  crystal precursor when concentrations of unreacted raw materials have been already decreased like the cases more than 15 mL of  $Na_2CO_3$  is used. However, difference of precursor crystallinity caused by difference of additive timing of NH<sub>3</sub> was small when 5 mL of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> was used because lack of CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2</sup> affected much greatly to decrease of precursor crystallinity. When  $Na_2CO_3$  20 mL was used, crystallinity of  $YB(OH)_{4}CO_{3}$  was increased by NH<sub>3</sub> addition regardless of additive timing because B atoms were unlikely to be taken into precursor prevented by high concentration of  $CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2</sup>$  and OH originating from  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$ .

These tendencies on precursor crystallinity in the cases  $NH_3$  was combined with  $Na_2CO_3$  and stirred for 1 day were different with the cases  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  was combined with  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  and stirred for 20 min. This is because  $YB(OH)<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  crystallization does not finish during stirring for 20 min. Precursor growth and crystallinity increase are inefficient in the cases  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  is only used and stirred for 20 min, and therefore they can be improved by combining  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  which promotes  $YB(OH)_{4}CO_{3}$  crystallization. On the other hand,  $YB(OH)_{4}CO_{3}$  crystallization by Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> usually finishes during stirring for 1 day, and therefore  $NH_3$  addition negatively affects on crystallinity of

precursor by destroying already-formed YB(OH)<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> crystals while yield of YB(OH)<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and YBO<sub>3</sub> after firing are improved. NH<sub>3</sub> combination also affects negatively on crystallinity of precursor in the cases  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  and  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  are adding simultaneously. This is mainly because amorphous incorporation due to excessively rapid growth of precursor by high pH from beginning of reaction.

YBO<sub>3</sub> was formed after firing, however, Y<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>6</sub> was likely to incorporate like as Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> only was used. When 20 mL of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> was used and NH<sub>3</sub> was added after Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, main product was  $Y_3BO_6$  in contrast to the cases Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> was only used or Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub> were simultaneously added, whose main product was  $Y_2O_3$ . Crystals angulated and partly aggregated after firing in all cases. When  $NH_3$  was combined, crystal size also increased after firing. This result indicates  $NH_3$ promotes particle adhesion during firing. Crystallinity after firing was higher in the cases  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  was added after  $Na_2CO_3$  than the cases  $Na_2CO_3$  only was used or  $Na_2CO_3$  and  $NH_3$  were added simultaneously. Moreover, amount of incorporated  $Y_3BO_6$  was also decreased in the cases NH<sub>3</sub> was added after Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. This is assumed to be the result that YB(OH)<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> crystal precursor was partly dissolved or its crystal structure was changed when  $NH_3$  was added after Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, and outer shell whose crystallinity was relatively low or containing amorphous precursor with excess B atom was formed.

In the cases  $NH_3$  was combined with  $Na_2CO_3$  and stirred for 1 day, luminance was improved in the cases  $NH_3$  was added after  $Na_2CO_3$  compared with the cases  $Na_2CO_3$  only was used. This is assumed to be the result that outer shell with excess B atom formed after adding  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  improved  $YBO<sub>3</sub>$  crystallinity and luminance. On the other hand, luminance was not so improved or decreased in the cases Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub> were added simultaneously. These results means NH<sub>3</sub> (or NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>) has effects to prevent  $Y_3BO_6$  incorporation and to improve  $YBO_3$  crystallinity and luminance only in the case NH<sub>3</sub> is added after Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and stirred for short time. Luminance was maximized when 10 mL

of  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  was used in both cases similarly to the cases  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  only was used.

Chromaticity was slightly decreased in the range additive amount of  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  was less than 10 mL regardless of addition timing of  $NH_3$  compared with the cases  $Na_2CO_3$  only was used. This is assumed to be the result that crystal size became larger by combining  $NH<sub>3</sub>$ , and therefore chromaticity improvement by roughness of crystal surface did not work well. On the other hand, chromaticity was slightly increased when additive amount of  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  was 15 mL. This is assumed to be the result that  $Y_3BO_6$  incorporation was prevented by combining  $NH_3$ , and as therefore chromaticity decrease by  $Y_3BO_6$  was eliminated. Chromaticity was maximized when using 10 mL of  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$ , which was different result to the cases  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  only was used. Chromaticity was slightly improved in the cases  $NH_3$  was added after  $Na_2CO_3$  compared with the cases  $Na_2CO_3$  and  $NH_3$  were added simultaneously.

#### 3.3.4 Conclusions

 $YB(OH)_{4}CO_{3}$  spherical microcrystals before firing and  $YBO_{3}$  microcrystals showing good chromaticity after firing are obtained by controlling additive amount of  $Na_2CO_3$  as molar ratio of C atom to B atom (C/B) is less than 1.5 and stirring for sufficient time (about 1 day). Formation of mono-dispersed YB(OH)<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> spherical microcrystals before firing is the most important to form mono-dispersed  $YBO_3$  microcrystals after firing because crystal size is maintained during firing in the conditions YB(OH)<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> spherical microcrystals are formed before firing. Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> pH adjuster enables to synthesize  $YB(OH)<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  spherical microcrystals easily and certainly, and moreover, combination of NH<sub>3</sub> enables to improve yield. However, PEI reaction field or PEI addition during firing is indicated to be essential to maintain crystal shape and to prevent aggregation.

3.4 Overall conclusions on  $(Y,\text{Gd})BO_3:Eu^{3+}$ 

Non-acidified PEI is the most suitable for reaction field to synthesize mono-dispersed YBO<sub>3</sub> microcrystals. In addition, appropriate concentration of non-acidified PEI exists. However, the most important requirement to form uniform  $YBO_3$  microcrystals is to synthesize uniform  $YB(OH)_{4}CO_{3}$ microcrystals before firing.

# The relationship between crystal habit

# and relative intensity of XRD peaks

# on  $CaSO_4$  •  $2H_2O$
#### 4.1 Introduction

Crystal morphology changes according to reaction conditions such as additives, pH, and reaction time. At the same time, intensity of each XRD peak also changes. This phenomenon is observed in various materials such as  $YBO<sub>3</sub>$ , however, few studies correlate morphology with XRD peak, so the detail of the relationship between them remains unclear.

This study aims to clarify the detailed relationship between crystal morphology and XRD peak intensity. In this study,  $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$  was selected as model substance because it shows crystal face and crystal shape clearly.  $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$  crystals showing various morphologies were synthesized by reaction crystallization with various additives chosen randomly. Morphology (crystal shape, average longitude and aspect ratio), absolute intensity and relative intensity of major XRD peaks on synthesized  $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$  were examined. Focused major five XRD peaks are (020), (021), (130), (041), and (-221) peaks. Positions (2θ) of them are 11.6°, 20.7°, 23.4°, 29.1°, and 31.1°, respectively. From these results, relationships between morphology and XRD peak intensities were clarified as well as the most suitable additive to control crystal nucleation and crystal growth to synthesize mono-dispersed  $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$  microcrystals was determined. Moreover, crystal growth mechanism and crystal structure of  $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$  were discussed by analyzing crystal size, XRD absolute intensity and XRD relative intensity.

#### 4.2 Experimental procedure

In this research,  $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$  crystals showing various morphologies were synthesized by reaction crystallization with various additives. Additives were chosen randomly among acids, bases, inorganic salts, organic compounds, and polyelectrolytes. CaCl<sub>2</sub> solution and  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$  solution were used as raw materials. Concentration and volume of raw materials were basically standardized as 0.3 mol/L and 50 mL respectively. Exceptionally, concentrations of raw materials were increased to

0.6-1.5 mol/L when using additives which can increase solubility or can suppress nucleation of  $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$ . Applied materials and experimental conditions are shown in Table 4.1 and Table 4.2, respectively. Reaction crystallization was carried out at room temperature.

Reagent	Grade	Purity $[\%]$	Production company
CaCl <sub>2</sub>	Special	95.0	Kanto Chemical Co. Inc
Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	Special	99.0	Kanto Chemical Co. Inc.
HC <sub>1</sub>	Special	35.0-37.0	Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd.
<b>NaOH</b>	Special	97.0	Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd.
NaCl	Special	99.5	Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd.
Citric acid	Special	98.0	Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd.
Urea	Special	99.0	Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd.
<b>PEI70000</b>	P-1000	$29.0 - 31.0$	Nippon Shokubai Co., Ltd.
<b>PVP</b>	$K-30W$	29.0-31.0	Nippon Shokubai Co., Ltd.
PAA250000	First		Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd.
CMC-Na	<b>Practical Grade</b>		Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd.
$Ca_2SO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$	First		Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd.

Table 4.1 Materials

Table 4.2 Experimental conditions

	Raw materials							
<b>Series</b>	CaCl <sub>2</sub>		Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>		Additives	Deionized	Ripening time after	
	Concentration	Volume	Concentration Volume		Type	Amount	water	nucleation
	[mol/L]	[mL]	$\lceil \text{mol/L} \rceil$	[mL]			[mL]	
$CaSO4 \cdot 2H2O$ reagent								
Without additives	0.3	50	0.3	50			200	$30 \text{ min}$
Without additives (ripened for 5 min)	0.3	50	0.3	50			200	5 min
HCl (Static)	0.9	50	0.9	50	35% HCl	$10 \text{ mL}$	200	4 day
<b>NaOH</b>	0.3	50	0.3	50	1 mol/L NaOH	$0.3$ mL	200	$30 \text{ min}$
NaCl (ripened for 1 day)	0.3	50	0.3	50	NaCl	2g	200	1 day
Concentrated citric acid	0.9	50	0.9	50	Citric acid	100 g	200	$30 \text{ min}$
Citric acid (Static)	0.3	50	0.3	50	Citric acid	2g	200	25 day
Urea	0.3	50	0.3	50	Urea	2g	200	$30 \text{ min}$
PEI	0.3	50	0.3	50	15 g/L PEI70000	$200$ mL		$30 \text{ min}$
<b>Acidified PEI</b>	1.5	50	1.5	50	10 g/L PEI70000	$200$ mL		$30 \text{ min}$
(concentrated raw materials)					35% HCl	$10 \text{ mL}$		
<b>PVP</b>	0.3	50	0.3	50	$10 \text{ g/L PVP}$	$200$ mL		$30 \text{ min}$
PAA250000	0.6	50	0.6	50	1 g/L PAA250000 $200$ mL			2 hour
CMC-Na	0.9	50	0.9	50	10 g/L CMC-Na	$200$ mL	—	$25 \text{ min}$

200 mL of deionized water or additive solution was put into 300 mL beaker reactor and agitated by magnetic stirrer. 50 mL of CaCl<sub>2</sub> solution and 50 mL of  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$  solution with predetermined concentrations were added in order. Through this operation  $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$  was formed. Formed  $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$  was ripened in agitating condition or static condition for predetermined time.  $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$  crystals were separated from  $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$  slurry by suction filtration, and dried in oven at 40°C for more than 24 hours.

After drying, crystal morphology (crystal shape and crystal size) was observed by optical microscope (VH-Z450, KEYENCE) or SEM (VE-8800, KEYENCE). Average longitude (major axis), average latitude (minor axis), crystal size distribution, coefficient of variation (CV) and aspect ratio were calculated from micrographs. Crystallinity of each product was analyzed by XRD (Rint-UltimaIII, Rigaku). XRD absolute intensity (crystallinity) was determined from the strongest diffraction peak. XRD relative intensity was calculated by defining XRD intensity of (020) peak, ordinarily the strongest peak, as 100. Morphology, XRD absolute intensity and XRD relative intensity of synthesized  $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$  were examined and the relationships between them were discussed.

#### 4.3 Results and discussions

XRD relative intensities of major peaks on (020) peak were varied with additives. Especially, relative intensity of (021) peak on (020) peak was varied the most widely from under 10 to over 100. Therefore, the relationship between crystal morphology and XRD relative intensity on  $CaSO<sub>4</sub> \cdot 2H<sub>2</sub>O$ was examined by classifying results into four types according to XRD relative intensity of (021) peak: (a) 0-10, (b) 10-20, (c) 20-50, (d) 50-100 and more than 100. Experimental results organized from the view of XRD relative intensity of (021) peak are shown in Table 4.3 and Table 4.4. Examples of micrographs and XRD charts are shown in Fig. 4.1 - 4.4 and Fig. 4.5, respectively.

### Table 4.3 Experimental results



XRD relative		XRD absolute intensity				XRD relative intensity to (020) face					
intensity of	<b>Series</b>	(020)	(021)	(130)		$(041)$ $(-221)$	(020)	(021)	(130)		$(041)$ $(-221)$
$(021)$ face $[\%]$				[cps]					[%]		
$0 - 10$	<b>Acidified PEI</b>	43575	2153	4826	3707	369	100	4.9	11.1	8.5	0.8
	(concentrated raw materials)										
	Concentrated citric acid	16028	1528	2881	2753	431	100	9.5	18.0	17.2	2.7
	Citric acid (Static)	33059	2159	5606	2818	228	100	6.5	17.0	8.5	0.7
	HCl (Static)	65558	6533	15722	6714	97	100	10.0	24.0	10.2	0.1
	$0-10$ average	39555	3093	7259	3998	281	100	7.7	17.5	11.1	1.1
$10 - 20$	Without additives	27557	3213	3492	5046	569	100	11.7	12.7	18.3	2.1
	PEI	11883	2215	2623	2833	904	100	18.6	22.1	23.8	7.6
	<b>PVP</b>	17430	2926	2163	3959	513	100	16.8	12.4	22.7	2.9
	NaCl (ripened for 1 day)	32365	4044	5820	4104	272	100	12.5	18.0	12.7	0.8
	10-20 average	22309	3100	3525	3986	565	100	14.9	16.3	19.4	3.4
$20 - 50$	$CaSO4 \cdot 2H2O$ reagent	12598	2664	1830	2988	894	100	21.1	14.5	23.7	7.1
	Without additives	10470	2705	2201	3280	598	100	25.8	21.0	31.3	5.7
	(ripened for 5 min)										
	<b>NaOH</b>	7928	2522	1370	3204	770	100	31.8	17.3	40.4	9.7
	Urea	12375	3363	2457	4320	793	100	27.2	19.9	34.9	6.4
	20-50 average	10843	2814	1965	3448	764	100	26.5	18.2	32.6	7.2
50-100	PAA250000	2693	2093	476	1352	1386	100	77.7	17.7	50.2	51.5
$100 -$	CMC-Na	2142	2906	384	1984	1388	100	135.7	17.9	92.6	64.8

Table 4.4 Experimental results (Crystal shape, crystal size and aspect ratio)





Figure 4.1 Micrographs of crystals whose XRD relative intensity of (021) peak is 0-10

(a) Acidified PEI (concentrated raw materials) (b) Concentrated citric acid

(c) Citric acid (static) (d) HCl (static)



Figure 4.2 Micrographs of crystals whose XRD relative intensity of (021) peak is 10-20 (e) Without additives (f) PEI (g) PVP (h) NaCl (ripened for 1 day)



Figure 4.3 Micrographs of crystals whose XRD relative intensity of (021) peak is 20-50 (i) CaSO<sub>4</sub> · 2H<sub>2</sub>O reagent (j) Without additives (ripened for 5 min) (k) NaOH (l) Urea



Figure 4.4 Micrographs of crystals

whose XRD relative intensity of (021) peak is 50-100 and more than 100

(m) PAA250000 (n) CMC-Na



Figure 4.5 Examples of XRD charts whose XRD relative intensity of (021) peak is 0-10, 10-20, 20-50, 50-100, and more than 100

#### 4.3.1 Crystal habits caused by additives

4.3.1.1 Detailed characteristics of crystals classified by XRD relative intensity of (021) peak

(a) The cases XRD relative intensity of (021) peak is 0-10

The cases XRD relative intensity of (021) peak is 0-10 occurred when adding concentrated citric acid, HCl or citric acid in static condition, or using concentrated raw materials' solutions with acidified PEI. In these cases, needle-like or plate-like large crystals with large aspect ratio were dominant. Especially in static conditions, coarse crystals whose longitude reached to hundreds of micrometer or mm order were formed. These results were assumed to be led by slow and precise growth resulting from solubility increase by acidic additives. In the case of using acidified PEI, large crystals were formed, which was opposite result to that observed in previous studies synthesizing mono-dispersed poorly-soluble sulfate microcrystals in acidified PEI reaction field. In reaction crystallization of  $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$  from concentrated raw materials,  $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$  crystals

were assumed to grow rapidly but stably in the presence of PEI behaving as stabilizer. In contrast, when using concentrated citric acid, irregular plate-like or wide plate-like crystals with relatively small aspect ratio were dominant though crystal size was still large. This result indicates high concentration of citric acid have an effect to change crystal habit by preventing crystal growth along longitude direction. Similarly-shaped microcrystals or granular microcrystals assumed to be broken crystals or secondary crystals were also observed in these cases. Coexistence of coarse crystals and microcrystals decreased CV especially in the case using HCl in static condition.

(b) The cases XRD relative intensity of (021) peak is 10-20

The cases XRD relative intensity of (021) peak is 10-20 occurred when adding PVP, PEI, NaCl, or using no additives.  $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$  crystals synthesized from raw material solutions with appropriate concentrations without additives correspond to this case (Fig. 4.2 (e)), in other words, this case, XRD relative intensity of (021) peak is 10-20, is the most popular on reaction crystallization of  $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$ . In these cases, needle-like or plate-like large crystals with large aspect ratio and some microcrystals formed together similarly to the case XRD relative intensity of (021) peak is 0-10. Coarse crystals were formed only in the case using NaCl, however, this result is mainly ascribed to longer ripening time (1 day).

#### (c) The cases XRD relative intensity of (021) peak is 20-50

The cases XRD relative intensity of (021) peak is 20-50 occurred when adding NaOH or urea, or the case ripened 5 min without additives. In these cases, granular crystals as well as plate-like or needle-like crystals were formed. Both average longitude and aspect ratio of these crystals were smaller than the cases XRD relative intensity of (021) peak is 0-10 and 10-20. These results were assumed to be led by following mechanisms; slow growth resulting from solubility increase and

growth suppression by urea, mass nucleation and minimization of crystals resulting from solubility decrease by NaOH, or insufficient growth resulting from shorter ripening time. In these cases, broken crystals and chipped crystals were also included, which indicates durability of crystals decreased. Although secondary particles were certainly included, it was difficult to distinguish between them from originally-small crystals. CaSO<sub>4</sub>  $\cdot$  2H<sub>2</sub>O reagent also corresponded to this case, however, it showed unique morphology: thick and coarse granular crystals.

#### (d) The cases XRD relative intensity of (021) peak is 50-100 and more than 100

The cases XRD relative intensity of (021) peak is 50-100 and more than 100 occurred when adding PAA250000 or CMC-Na, respectively. Both additives were polyelectrolytes having carboxyl groups. In these cases, morphology of synthesized  $CaSO<sub>4</sub> \cdot 2H<sub>2</sub>O$  dramatically changed from needle-like or plate-like large crystals to granular microcrystals, whose average size was about 2.3 μm. These results were assumed to be led by slow growth rate resulting from nucleation suppression and/or solubility increase by polyelectrolytes, growth suppression resulting from steric hindrance of polyelectrolytes, and prevention of aggregation resulting from electrostatic attractive force between  $Ca<sup>2+</sup>$  and carboxyl groups in polyelectrolytes. This result indicates polyelectrolytes having carboxyl groups are the most suitable to synthesize mono-dispersed  $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$  microcrystals. It was impossible to distinguish between primary crystals and secondary crystals, broken crystals and originally-small crystals. In addition,  $CaSO_4 \cdot 0.5H_2O$  impurities were included in these cases.

#### 4.3.1.2 Differences of effects of polyelectrolytes based on differences of interaction mechanisms

From above results, polyelectrolytes having carboxyl groups are considered to be the most appropriate reaction field to synthesize mono-dispersed  $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$  microcrystals. On the other hand, acidified PEI, determined as the most effective reaction field to synthesize mono-dispersed

poorly-soluble sulfates microcrystals in previous studies, was ineffective to  $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$ , nor showed remarkable change on XRD charts. Therefore, mechanisms to control crystal nucleation and crystal growth, and to prevent crystal aggregation are assumed to vary with types of polyelectrolytes and target materials interacting with them.

Effectiveness of polyelectrolyte reaction field is assumed to be decided by interaction type and interaction strength between polyelectrolyte and target metals. Examples of interaction types are electrostatic attractive force in polyelectrolytes having carboxyl groups, PAA or CMC-Na, and formation of coordination bond in PEI. Examples of factors having possibility to affect interaction strength are atomic weight, ionic radius, and ion valence of target metals. In addition, difference of electronegativity between metal ions and particular atoms in functional groups in polyelectrolyte can affect interaction strength. Effectiveness of major three polyelectrolytes, non-acidified PEI, acidified PEI and PAA, are discussed as follows.

Interaction between PEI and metal ions are formation of coordination bond. Non-acidified PEI is effective for  $YBO_3$  system though it has appropriate concentration range. However, non-acidified PEI is ineffective for CaSO<sub>4</sub> · 2H<sub>2</sub>O. Assumed reason is that attractive force between Ca<sup>2+</sup> and unshared electron pairs on N atoms in PEI is too weak to form coordination bond due to lightness of  $Ca<sup>2+</sup>$ . Non-acidified PEI is also inappropriate for poorly-soluble sulfates containing relatively heavy metal ions like  $Pb^{2+}$ ,  $Ba^{2+}$ , and  $Sr^{2+}$  in previous studies. This is because direct reaction between these metal ions and non-acidified PEI immediately forms poorly-soluble hydroxide precipitation. Although target materials will form by continuous stirring, it will take a long time to exchange OH<sup>-</sup> for target anion and formed crystals will be mixture of target compound and hydroxide with non-uniform shape and non-uniform size.

On the other hand, acidified PEI is effective for poorly-soluble sulfates in previous studies. Acidified PEI prevents direct reaction between metal ions and unshared electron pairs on N atoms

in PEI because unshared electron pairs on N atoms are masked by  $H^+$ . Moreover,  $H^+$  bonded to PEI is gradually displaced by metal ions, which enables to form mono-dispersed microcrystals of poorly-soluble sulfates free from aggregation. However, acidified PEI is ineffective for  $YBO_3$  and CaSO<sub>4</sub> • 2H<sub>2</sub>O. This is because relatively light metal ions such as  $RE^{3+}$  and Ca<sup>2+</sup> cannot displace H<sup>+</sup> on N atom in acidified PEI because coordination bond formed between PEI and these metal ions is weaker than that formed between PEI and relatively heavy metal ions. In addition, high stability of metal ions resulting from high solubility of target materials in acidic conditions will prevent formation of coordination bond to PEI. Other factors may affect the effectiveness of acidified PEI in previous studies: less solubility of sulfates containing heavy metal ions and different pH adjuster like CH<sub>3</sub>COOH having possibility to work as antisolvent.

 Interaction between PAA and metal ions is electrostatic attractive force, which is stronger interaction than formation of coordination bond. PAA (and CMC-Na) is effective only for CaSO<sub>4</sub>  $\cdot$ 2H2O. This is because electrostatic attractive force is adequately strong to adsorb relatively light metal ions like  $Ca^{2+}$ . PAA is ineffective for other systems because electrostatic attractive force is too strong to maintain poorly-soluble salts dissolved. PAA tends to gelate and precipitate in basic conditions, which restricts reaction conditions using PAA reaction field and decreases usefulness of PAA like YBO<sub>3</sub> systems.

#### 4.3.1.3 Investigation of interaction mechanism between  $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$  crystals and additives

 In this research, aspect ratio of synthesized crystals dramatically decreased when using concentrated citric acid, PAA and CMC-Na; additives having carboxyl groups. This result indicates that crystal growth along longitude direction is suppressed by electrostatic attractive force between carboxyl groups of additives and crystal growth point on latitude of  $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$  crystals. If such interactions exist, Ca atoms should locate on the side surface along latitude direction.

To examine this hypothesis, abundance ratio of S atom to Ca atom (S/Ca) on each crystal surface was analyzed by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) (PHI5000 Versa Probe WS, ULVAC-PHI) on  $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$  crystals synthesized without additives. As a result, S/Ca on the side surface along latitude direction was about 0.9. In contrast, S/Ca on the largest surface and on the side surface along longitude direction were more than 1.0. S/Ca on the side surface along latitude direction and those of other crystal surfaces can be considered to differ significantly because abundance of S atom and that of Ca atom in  $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$  crystal are basically equal. These results mean that Ca atoms are more likely to locate on the side surface along latitude direction of plate-like crystals or at the point of needle-like crystals than any other surface of  $CaSO<sub>4</sub> \cdot 2H<sub>2</sub>O$  crystals. This tendency will be the same in the presence of additives. Therefore, crystal growth along longitude direction will be suppressed when using additives having carboxyl groups by electrostatic attractive force between carboxyl groups of additives and Ca atoms at crystal growth point on the side surface along latitude direction. This interaction will change crystal shape by preserving growth-suppressed surfaces, and as a result, aspect ratio of these crystals will decrease. Moreover, when using polyelectrolytes having carboxyl groups, not only crystal shape change but also crystal size decrease will proceed simultaneously, which will change needle-like or plate-like large crystals into granular microcrystals. Concerning additives that will not interact electrostatically with  $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$  crystals, they will adsorb equally to each surface of  $CaSO<sub>4</sub> \cdot 2H<sub>2</sub>O$  crystals and will not affect their crystal shapes.

4.3.2 Relationships among XRD absolute intensity, XRD relative intensity and crystal morphology 4.3.2.1 The relationship between XRD absolute or relative intensity and crystal size

The relationships between XRD absolute or relative intensity and longitude are shown in Fig. 4.6 and Fig. 4.7, respectively.



Figure 4.6 The relationship between average longitude and XRD absolute intensity

Exponential approximate equations of each diffraction face are as follows:

(020): 
$$
y = 2676.2x^{0.7504}
$$
  $R^2 = 0.6703$  (021):  $y = 2387.6x^{0.0632}$   $R^2 = 0.0375$   
\n(130):  $y = 457.61x^{0.7477}$   $R^2 = 0.6788$  (041):  $y = 2158.4x^{0.1841}$   $R^2 = 0.2485$   
\n(-221):  $y = 1935.9x^{-0.5675}$   $R^2 = 0.6798$ 



Figure 4.7 The relationship between average longitude and XRD relative intensity



As longitude increased, XRD absolute intensity of (020) face and (130) face increased exponentially (Fig. 4.6). This result suggests (020) face and (130) face are parallel to longitude. In contrast, XRD absolute intensity of (-221) face decreased exponentially as longitude increased. This result suggests (-221) face grows rapidly and disappears as crystal grows along longitude direction. XRD absolute intensities of (021) face and (041) face were hardly affected by longitude increase, in other words, they were kept nearly constant regardless of longitude. This result suggests (021) face and (041) face are vertical to longitude. Because XRD absolute intensity of (021) face and (041) face are kept constant, these peaks outstands when granular microcrystals are dominant. However, XRD absolute intensity of (041) face can be considered to increase slightly as longitude increased. This result suggests (041) face slightly grows under the influence of crystal growth along longitude

direction though (041) face is not to be parallel to longitude. The relationship between XRD absolute intensity and latitude was less clear than longitude, however, (020) face, (130) face and (-221) face showed similar tendency to those of longitude. Concerning (021) face and (041) face, relationship to latitude was unclear.

Meanwhile, XRD relative intensity to (020) face decreased exponentially except for (130) face as longitude increased (Fig. 4.7). This result means the area of  $(021)$ ,  $(041)$  and  $(-221)$  faces relatively decrease as the area of (020) face increases by crystal growth along longitude direction. In other words, crystal shape changes from granular to needle or plate as the area of (020) face increases. In contrast, XRD relative intensity of (130) face was kept constant. This result ensures the theory that (130) face is parallel to longitude as well as (020) face and these faces grow together. On (-221) face, not only XRD absolute intensity but also XRD relative intensity was correlated with longitude. In fact, XRD relative intensity of (-221) face became larger as granular microcrystals became dominant. This result ensures the theory that (-221) face grows rapidly and disappears as crystal grows along longitude direction. The change of XRD relative intensity against latitude was similar to that against longitude.

Correlation coefficients on the relationships between XRD absolute or relative intensity and crystal size were 0.7 at the best. This is because XRD absolute intensity depends not on representative length but on the surface area of each diffraction face. For example, shape of grown crystal can be needle-like or plate-like though surface areas of them are equal. In addition, increase of crystal thickness will be error source.

4.3.2.2 Relationships between XRD relative intensity of (021) face and crystal morphology, XRD relative intensity of (021) face and that of other faces

The relationships between XRD relative intensity of (021) face and crystal morphology are

shown in Fig. 4.8. Decrease of average longitude and average latitude means that  $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$ crystals become smaller by increase of XRD relative intensity of (021) face. However, because average longitude decreases more rapidly than average latitude, aspect ratio decreases as XRD relative intensity of (021) face increases. As a result, shape of synthesized  $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$  changes from needle-like or plate-like to granular as XRD relative intensity of (021) face increases. Crystal shape changed the most dramatically when XRD relative intensity of (021) face was around 50.



Figure 4.8 The relationship between XRD relative intensity of (021) face and average size

Exponential approximate equations of longitude and latitude are as follows:

Longitude:  $y = 155.58x^{0.948}$   $R^2 = 0.6514$  Latitude:  $y = 28.696x^{0.7571}$   $R^2 = 0.5888$ 

The relationships between XRD relative intensity of (021) face and XRD relative intensity of each diffraction face are shown in Fig. 4.9. As XRD relative intensity of (021) face increased, XRD relative intensities of (041) face and (-221) face also increased. On (-221) face, XRD absolute intensity increased as well as XRD relative intensity (Table 4.3). In contrast, XRD relative intensity

of (130) face kept constant. These changes of XRD relative intensities correspond to morphology changes, in other words, as relative area of (021) face increases, relative areas of (041) face and  $(-221)$  face also increase, as a result, synthesized  $CaSO<sub>4</sub> \cdot 2H<sub>2</sub>O$  changes from needle-like or plate-like large crystals to granular microcrystals.



XRD relative intensity of  $(021)$  face  $[\%]$ 

Figure 4.9 The relationship between XRD relative intensity of (021) face and those of other faces

Linear approximate equations of each diffraction face are as follows:

(130):  $y = 0.0113x + 17.055$   $R^2 = 0.0117$  (041):  $y = 0.6067x + 10.464$   $R^2 = 0.9387$  $(-221)$ :  $y = 0.5495x - 4.4445$   $R^2 = 0.9487$ 

4.3.2.3 Estimation of crystal axis and crystal face of  $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$ 

Analysis of intensity ratio of major XRD peaks enables us to trace the changing process of crystal habit of  $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$ . This knowledge also enables us to estimate crystal axis and crystal face of  $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$ . Crystal axes and approximate crystal structure of  $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$  are estimated to be as Fig. 4.10. X-Y-Z coordinate system is defined not to be inconsistent with each crystal face.

(020) face is usually largest and the most outstanding face, therefore, longitude and latitude of crystals are usually decided from (020) face. (020) face is parallel to x axis and z axis, and vertical to y axis. (130) face is parallel to z axis similarly to (020) face. This face extends to depth direction, in other words, (130) face has the component of crystal thickness. (021) face and (041) face are vertical to z axis, in other words, these faces are vertical to (020) face and (130) face. However, inclination is different between (021) face and (041) face. (-221) face is assumed to be trace level in Fig. 4.10. This face is assumed to exist at the corner of crystal because this face is not parallel to any axes. This face outstands only at the beginning of crystal growth or in the case that granular microcrystals are dominant, and disappears rapidly as crystal grows along longitude direction. Other crystal faces showing lower XRD absolute intensity may appear at edge or corner of crystal, however, they will not affect approximate crystal structure of  $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$ .



Figure 4.10 Assumed crystal axes and crystal faces of  $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$ 

#### 4.4 Critical problem for above research: preferred orientation

 Preferred orientation of coarse crystals with large aspect ratio in powder X-ray diffraction can be fatal problem for the relationship between crystal habit and XRD absolute or relative intensity. In other words, observed relationship between them can only be the probability that particular face of crystals orients to the direction X-ray is irradiated. Preferred orientation of crystals will be eliminated as crystal size decreases, and finally XRD relative intensities will converge to the same values as JCPDS data regardless of crystal shape.

Certainly, XRD charts having particular peak much stronger than any other peak indicate the possibility of preferred orientation. However, synthesis method and/or synthesis condition of  $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$  in this research is different from that of JCPDS. This means it is natural result that crystal habit and XRD relative intensities are different from those of JCPDS. In addition, although crystal size and crystal shape changed according to additives, the tendency that (020) peak is the strongest unchanged except for the case CMC-Na was added. Especially,  $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$  reagent comprised of thick and coarse granular crystals showed similar XRD chart to other cases comprised of needle-like or plate-like crystals. These results mean the relationship between crystal habit and XRD absolute or relative intensity clearly exists though preferred orientation may affect to some extent.

Preferred orientation has to be prevented especially when precision measurement is carried out on large crystals with large aspect ratio. For example, preferred orientation can be almost solved by grinding crystals to less than 20 μm [25, 26]. However, preferred orientation may still remain on crystals with large aspect ratio because crystal shape is unchanged while crystal size is decreased by grinding. In addition, grinding cannot be applied in the cases grinding can cause change of crystal structure or chemical composition, crystal distortion and amorphous transition. These adverse impacts can occur on quite stable materials by heavy grinding and can change XRD chart. X-ray

photographic method or parallel beam method with capillary rotation stage is recommended for precision measurement of crystals likely to have preferred orientation.

#### 4.5 Conclusions

Polyelectrolytes having carboxyl groups such as PAA or CMC-Na are the most suitable for synthesizing mono-dispersed  $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$  microcrystals due to slow growth rate resulting from nucleation suppression and/or solubility increase by polyelectrolytes, growth suppression resulting from steric hindrance of polyelectrolytes, and prevention of aggregation resulting from electrostatic attractive force between  $Ca^{2+}$  and carboxyl groups in polyelectrolytes.

XRD relative intensity of each diffraction face on  $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$  changes according to crystal morphology. As XRD relative intensity of (021) face increases, XRD relative intensities of (041) face and (-221) face also increase. At the same time, average longitude and aspect ratio decrease and needle-like or plate-like large crystals transform into granular microcrystals. In addition, XRD absolute intensity and XRD relative intensity on particular crystal faces are correlated with longitude. However, correlate coefficients are 0.7 at the best because XRD absolute intensity is depend not on representative length but on total area of each diffraction face.

Overall conclusions and

contribution of these researches

Type, concentration and pH of polyelectrolyte reaction field must be decided considering type and strength of interaction between polyelectrolyte and target materials to synthesize mono-dispersed microcrystals free from aggregation. For example, non-acidified PEI, whose interaction mechanism is formation of coordination bond between unshared electron pairs on N atoms in PEI and metal ions, is effective for synthesizing mono-dispersed  $YBO<sub>3</sub>$  microcrystals though appropriate concentration of PEI exists. However, PEI is ineffective for  $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$ regardless of its pH due to weakness of coordination bond between PEI and  $Ca^{2+}$ . Considering other poorly-soluble sulfates containing relatively heavy metal ions such as Sr, Ba and Pb, only acidified PEI is effective by preventing direct reaction between unshared electron pairs on N atoms in PEI and metal ions, and by proceeding mild reaction through displacement reaction between  $H^+$  and metal ions and following reaction crystallization on N atoms in PEI. On the other hand, electrostatic attractive force between carboxyl groups in PAA or CMC-Na and metal ions is adequately strong for compounds containing relatively light metal ions such as  $CaSO<sub>4</sub> \cdot 2H<sub>2</sub>O$  while it is too strong for  $YBO<sub>3</sub>$  or other poorly-soluble sulfates containing Sr, Ba and Pb. In addition, the tendency of polyelectrolytes having carboxyl groups to gelate and precipitate in basic conditions restricts reaction conditions. The above knowledge will be useful for similar compounds containing other anions, or compounds containing other cations with similar atomic weight, ionic radius, ion valence or electronegativity.

The most important requirement to form uniform  $YBO<sub>3</sub>$  microcrystals is to synthesize uniform YB(OH)4CO3 microcrystals before firing. In addition, PEI reaction field with appropriate concentration helps to maintain crystal shape and to prevent crystal aggregation not only during reaction crystallization but also during firing. Uniform YBO<sub>3</sub> microcrystals will shorten firing time, which will save the energy during firing. In addition, uniform YBO3 microcrystals will allow making flat emission surface with less phosphor particles in high packing density, which will reduce market

price.

Morphology of  $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$  changes from needle-like or plate-like large crystals into granular microcrystals synthesized in polyelectrolytes having carboxyl groups. From this aspect, polyelectrolytes having carboxyl groups such as PAA or CMC-Na are the most suitable for synthesizing mono-dispersed  $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$  microcrystals. In addition, XRD relative intensity of each diffraction face correlates with crystal morphology. As XRD relative intensity of (021) face increases, average longitude and aspect ratio decrease and needle-like or plate-like large crystals transform into granular microcrystals. This knowledge enables us to trace changing process of crystal morphology from intensity ratio of major XRD peaks.

 The above researches will contribute not only to control crystal characteristics, to improve production process of phosphors and to reduce production cost, but also to save resource and energy, and to develop crystallization itself.

#### Appendices

- CMC-Na: sodium carboxymethylcellulose
- CV: coefficient of variation
- FT-IR: Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy
- PAA: polyacrylic acid
- PDP: plasma display panel
- PEI: polyethyleneimine
- PVP: polyvinylpyrrolidone
- r1[-]: a distance between the chromaticity coordinate of reference synthesized by solid-state reaction
- and chromaticity coordinate of ideal red
- r2[-]: a distance between chromaticity coordinate of sample synthesized by reaction crystallization
- and chromaticity coordinate of ideal red
- *RE*: rare earth
- SEM: scanning electron microscope
- VUV: vacuum ultraviolet
- XPS: X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy
- XRD: X-ray diffraction

#### References

- [1] A. Katayama et. al., *J. Cryst. Growth*, **2004**, *260*, 500-506
- [2] T. Mikami et. al., *Chem. Eng. Res. Des.*, **2010**, *88*, 1200-1205
- [3] T. Mikami et. al., *J. Chem. Eng .Jpn.*, **2010**, *43*, 698-703
- [4] C. H. Kim et. al., *J. Alloys. Compd.*, **2000**, *311*, 33-39
- [5] H. C. Lu et. al., *J. Electron. Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom.*, **2005**, *144-147*, 983-985
- [6] Jisedai PDP kaihatsu center, ͆Tokoton Yasashii Plasma Display no Hon͇, p.88, 120 (2006)
- [7] M. Kawamura, "Yokuwakaru Purazuma Terebi", p.128 (2005)
- [8] T. Uchida, "Zukai Denshi Display no Subete", p.186-187 (2006)
- [9] Y. Wang et. al., *J. Solid State Chem.*, **2004**, *177*, 2242-2248
- [10] C. Xiangzhong et. al., *J. Rare Earths*, **2006**, *24*, 149-152
- [11] Z. Wei et. al., *J. Appl. Phys.*, **2003**, *93 (12)*, 9783-9788
- [12] G. Lee, S. Kang, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **2006**, *153 (5)*, H105-H109
- [13] X. Jiang et. al., *J. Solid State Chem.*, **2003**, *175*, 245-251
- [14] K. Kim et. al., *J. Mater. Res.*, **2002**, *17 (4)*, 907-910
- [15] Y. Zhijian et.al., *J. Rare Earths*, **2004**, *22 (6)*, 829-832
- [16] N. Joffin et. al., *Opt. Mater.*, **2006**, *28*, 597-601
- [17] Ernest M. Levin et. al., *Am. Mineral.*, **1961**, *46*, 1030-1055
- [18] J. A. Zaykoski et.al., *J.Am. Ceram. Soc.*, **2011**, *1 (7)*, 1-7
- [19] Y. Wang, L. Wang, *Mater. Lett.*, **2006**, *60*, 2645-2649
- [20] M. Ooki et.al., "Kagaku Daijiten", p.2492 (1989)
- [21] B. Simon and M. Bienfait, *Acta Cryst*., **1965**, *19*, 750
- [22] W. M. M. Heijnen and P. Hartman, *J.Crystal Growth*, **1991**, *108*, 290
- [23] Elly van der Voort and P. Hartman, *J.Crystal Growth*, **1991**, *112*, 445-450
- [24] K. Sohn et. al., *J. Mater. Res.*, **2002**, *17 (12)*, 3201-3205
- [25] M. Kato, "Ceramics Kiso Koza 3 X Sen Kaisetsu Bunseki", p.21,158-167,201 (1990)
- [26] Rigaku, "X Sen Kaisetsu Handbook", p.41,42,57,58 (1998)

#### Acknowledgements

This research was supported by Global Center of Excellence (GCOE) Practical Chemical Wisdom, Konica Minolta, Nippon Shokubai, Professor Hirasawa, Professor Noda, Assistant Professor Kohori, researchers in laboratory, and my parents.

早稲田大学大学院 先進理工学研究科

# 博士論文概要

## 論 文 題 目

### Reaction Crystallization Field to Control Crystal Characteristics

結晶特性を制御するための反応晶析場



2013年12月

反応晶析は液相法の一種であり、液体原料を混合、反応させて結晶製品を得る方 法である。反応は、反応により生じる過飽和により結晶化が急速に進むという 長所がある一方、核化・成長の制御が困難であり、粒子が凝集しやすいという問題 点を抱えている。

本研究では、核発生と結晶成長の制御、凝集抑制を目的として、高分子電解質を 反応場として用いる反応晶析を利用した。この方法により(Y,Gd)BO<sub>3</sub>:Eu<sup>3+</sup>を合成し、 最適な高分子電解質反応場および反応条件を決定した。さらに、高分子電解質使用 時に見られた YBO3の晶癖変化と X線回折ピーク強度変化の関係について、結晶面、 結晶形状がより明瞭な CaSO<sub>4</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O をモデル物質として研究を進め、同時に CaSO<sub>4</sub>· 2H<sub>2</sub>O についても結晶特性制御に有用な反応晶析場を探索した。以上の結果を統合し、 反応晶析場による結晶特性の制御の概念を提出した。

本論文は全五章から構成される。各章の概要について以下に述べる。

第一章 反応晶析の特徴および高分子電解質反応場の作用

反応晶析は液相法の一種であり、液体原料を混合して結晶製品を得る方法である。 反応晶析の最大の長所は、反応により生じる過飽和により結晶化が急速に進む点で ある。加えて、常温・常圧で反応が進むため、エネルギーの節約も可能である。一 方で、反応晶析は核化・成長制御が困難であり、粒子が凝集しやすいという問題点 を抱えている。

凝集を防ぐための合成法の一つとして、高分子電解質を反応場として用いる反応 晶析がある。この方法を用いることで、高分子電解質と溶質分子および結晶核との 静電的相互作用、高分子鎖の立体障害により核化段階と成長段階が分離でき、単分 散微粒子の合成が可能になると示唆されている。実際に、難溶性硫酸塩の単分散微 粒子合成の既往研究においては、ポリエチレンイミン(PEI)酸性条件が特に有効であ るという結果が得られている。

第二章 対象物質(Y,Gd)BO<sub>3</sub>:Eu<sup>3+</sup>および CaSO<sub>4</sub> · 2H<sub>2</sub>O の結晶特性

(Y,Gd)BO<sub>3</sub>:Eu<sup>3+</sup>は真空紫外(VUV)蛍光体の一種であり、プラズマディスプレイパネ ル(PDP)用赤色蛍光体として広く利用されるほか、水銀フリー蛍光灯への応用も検討 されている。商業用(Y,Gd)BO<sub>3</sub>:Eu<sup>3+</sup>は固相法で合成され、大粒径で形状が不均一で あるが、反応晶析を利用すれば改善が可能である。ただし、反応晶析では非晶質前 駆体が生成するため、焼成操作により YBO3 に変換する必要がある。

CaSO4 · 2H<sub>2</sub>O はアルカリ土類金属硫酸塩の中では最も式量が小さく、最も水に溶 けやすいが、物質全体では難溶性塩に分類される。この点から、CaSO4 · 2H<sub>2</sub>O は、 反応晶析で合成する際に核化・成長制御、凝集抑制が難しい物質の一つであり、既 往研究における難溶性硫酸塩と同様に単分散微粒子合成法を探索する価値

のある物質であるといえる。常温 • 常圧下では通常 2 水和物が析出するが、0.5 水 和物をはじめとして異なる水和数のものが生成する場合もある。

第三章 高分子電解質反応場を用いた反応晶析による(Y,Gd)BO3:Eu<sup>3+</sup>単分散微粒子 の合成

1 g/L または 10 g/L の PEI およびポリアクリル酸(PAA)を高分子電解質の候補とし、 YBO<sub>3</sub> 単分散微粒子の合成に最適な高分子電解質反応場および操作条件を探索した。 その結果、1g/LPEIを反応場として用い、塩基性側から操作した場合に、3つの興 味深い現象が見られた。まず、 $CO_3$ <sup>2</sup>源を添加していないにもかかわらず、通常の非 晶質前駆体ではなく YB(OH)4CO3 結晶質前駆体が生成した。次に、生成した前駆体 は粒径・形状ともに均一な球状微粒子であった。さらに、焼成後もこの粒径と形状 は維持された。これに対して、PEI 酸性条件は 1 g/L、10 g/L とも非晶質前駆体が生 成 し焼 成 後 も 凝 集 し て い る と い う 点 で 、 10 g/L PEI 塩 基 性 条 件 は 粒 径 ・ 形 状 が 不 均 一かつ焼成後に不純物が混入するという点で不適であった。PAA は、YBO3 前駆体 が生成する塩基性領域ではゲル状となり分離するという点で明らかに不適であった。 以上より、高分子電解質反応場としては 1 g/L PEI 塩基性条件が最適であると結論づ けられた。

一連の研究として、YB(OH)<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> 結晶質前駆体の生成条件の解明、Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> を pH 調整剤として用いた YB(OH)4CO3 結晶質前駆体の合成の検討も行った。その結果、 YB(OH)4CO3 結晶質前駆体を得るには、希薄条件、最終 pH 弱酸性、1日程度の撹拌 と い う 3 つ の 条 件 が 必 要 で あ る こ と を 見 出 し た 。 ま た 、 Na2CO3 使 用 時 に は 、 前 駆 体 中の B 原子と C 原子のモル比(C/B)を 1.5 以下に抑え、1 日撹拌した場合に均一な YB(OH) 4CO<sub>3</sub> 球状微粒子が生成した。さらに、YB(OH) 4CO<sub>3</sub> 球状微粒子が生成すれば 焼 成 後 に も 粒 径 が 維 持 さ れ る こ と か ら 、 YBO<sub>3</sub> 単 分 散 微 粒 子 の 合 成 に は 、 焼 成 前 の 均 一 な YB(OH)<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> 球 状 微 粒 子 の 生 成 が 最 も 重 要 で あ る こ と を 見 出 し た 。Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> に より YB(OH)4CO3 球状微粒子が確実かつ簡便に合成でき、NH3 を併用すれば収率の 向上も可能である。ただし、焼成中の形状維持、凝集抑制には課題が残り、焼成中 の PEI の必要性が示唆された。

第四章 CaSO4 · 2H<sub>2</sub>O の晶癖と XRD ピーク強度の関係

結晶形状(晶癖)は反応条件により変化し、同時に X 線回折ピーク強度も変化す る。これらの変化は YBO3を含めさまざまな物質で観察されるが、晶癖と XRD ピー ク強度の関係は明らかにされていない。本研究では、モデル物質 CaSO4 · 2H<sub>2</sub>O を各 種添加物存在下で合成し、核化・成長制御に有用な反応晶析場を探索するとともに、 添加物による晶癖変化、晶癖と XRD ピーク強度の関係を調査した。

その結果、PAA (平均分子量 25 万)、カルボキシメチルセルロースナトリウム (CMC-Na)など、カルボキシル基をもつ高分子電解質を用いると、CaSO4·2H<sub>2</sub>O の

形状および X 線回折図形が大きく変化した。通常の CaSO4 · 2H<sub>2</sub>O 結晶は針状·板 状粗大晶だが、PAA25 万、CMC-Na を反応晶析場として用いると粒状微結晶が生成 した。しかし、他の難溶性硫酸塩の単分散微粒子合成に有効であった PEI 反応場は CaSO4·2H<sub>2</sub>O には効果がなく、X線回折図形にも目立った変化はなかった。また、 酸・塩基、無機塩、低分子有機化合物などの各種低分子添加物についても、カルボ キシル基をもつ高分子電解質に匹敵する微小化・単分散化効果を示すものはなかっ た。一方で、粗大粒子のまま短径方向の成長を促進させる低分子添加物が存在し、 静置条件では mm オーダーの粗大晶が生成するなど、微小化とは対極にある現象も 観 察 さ れ た 。 以 上 の こ と か ら 、 CaSO4・2 H<sub>2</sub>O 単 分 散 微 粒 子 の 合 成 に は 、 カ ル ボ キ シ ル基をもつ高分子電解質が最も有力な反応晶析場であることが見出された。X線回 折図形に関しては、カルボキシル基をもつ高分子電解質使用時、通常最強ピークと なる(020)面のピーク強度が大きく低下した一方、(021)面のピーク強度が増大し、最 強ピークになることもあった。また、(041)面、(-221)面など、通常の CaSO<sub>4</sub>・2H<sub>2</sub>O 回折図形ではあまり目立たないピークの強度も増大した。

(020)面に対する各回折ピークの相対強度を算出し、最も変化の大きい(021)面の相 対強度に関して結果を分類すると、特定の XRD 相対強度間、あるいは XRD 相対強 度と平均粒径、アスペクト比の間に相関関係があることが確認された。さらに、各 回折ピーク強度の変化を解析することで、CaSO4 · 2H<sub>2</sub>O の晶癖変化の過程を追跡で きることを見出した。

第五章 結果の総括と今後の展開および本研究の貢献可能性

 $(Y, Gd)BO_3$ :Eu<sup>3+</sup>反応晶析における高分子電解質反応場としては1g/L PEI 塩基性条 件が最適である。そして、YBO3 単分散微粒子の合成には、焼成前に均一な YB(OH)<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> 球状微粒子を生成させることが最も重要である。 均一な YBO<sub>3</sub> 微粒子の 合成が 可能に なると、 焼成 時間 の 短 縮 に よ り 省 エ ネ ル ギ ー 化 が 達 成 さ れ る 。 ま た 、 均一な微粒子はより少量で高充填密度の発光面が作製可能であり、商品価格も低減 されると期待される。

 $CaSO_4$  ·  $2H_2O$ は、カルボキシル基をもつ高分子電解質中で合成すると粒状微結晶 となる。また、 XRD 相対強度と晶癖の間には相関関係があり、(021)面の XRD 相対 強度が増大するにつれて平均長径、アスペクト比は減少し、CaSO4 · 2H<sub>2</sub>O 結晶は針 状·板状粗大晶から粒状微結晶に変化する。この知見は主要な XRD ピーク強度比か らの晶癖の推定を可能にし、凝集が激しく個々の結晶形状が不明瞭な場合、結晶の 向きが不明な場合などに特に有用である。

以上のように、本論文の成果は、単分散微粒子合成をはじめとする結晶特性制御、 蛍光体生産プロセス改良・製造コスト削減のみならず、省資源・省エネルギー、さ らには学問としての晶析工学の発展に寄与するものである。

早稲田大学 博士 (工学) 学位申請 研究業績書

氏名 井上 幹康 印

(2013 年 11 月 現在)

