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#### Abstract

A pressure-stabilized characteristics finite element scheme for the Oseen equations is presented. Stability and convergence results with the optimal error estimates for the velocity and the pressure are proved. The scheme can deal with convection-dominated problems and leads to a symmetric coefficient matrix of the system of linear equations. A cheap P1/P1 finite element is employed and the degrees of freedom are smaller than that of other typical elements for the equations, e.g., P2/P1. Therefore, the scheme is efficient especially for three dimensional problems. Two and three dimensional numerical results are shown to recognize the theoretical convergence orders and applicability to the linear stability analysis of stationary flows for the Navier-Stokes equations.
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## 1 Introduction

In this paper we present a combined finite element scheme with a pressure-stabilization and a characteristics method for the Oseen equations, and prove its stability and error estimates. A corresponding scheme to the Navier-Stokes equations has been proposed by us $[21,23]$ and the theoretical analysis will be given in a forthcoming paper [25].

The system of the Oseen equations is well known as a linearized model of the Navier-Stokes equations and has been used to understand the incompressible fluid dynamics, e.g., the flows past a cylinder and a sphere. Although the system is linear, the numerical treatment is not so easy especially in the convection-dominant case. We focus on the following two issues in order to devise efficient schemes.

The one is what pair of finite elements should be employed for the velocity and the pressure. The well-known inf-sup condition [15] requires a restriction on the choice of elements. A typical pair of elements satisfying the inf-sup condition is the so-called P2/P1 (Hood-Taylor) finite element [15], i.e., continuous piecewise quadratic polynomial approximation to the velocity and continuous piecewise linear approximation to the pressure. The $\mathrm{P} 2 / \mathrm{P} 1$ finite element is still expensive especially for three dimensional computations, while it has a second order approximation property. On the other hand, the $\mathrm{P} 1 / \mathrm{P} 1$ finite element, i.e., continuous piecewise linear approximation to both the velocity and the pressure, is cheap and useful for three dimensional computations, while it does not satisfy the inf-sup condition and has a first order interpolation property. In [6] a pressure-stabilization technique has been originally proposed for the Stokes equations and a first order convergence has been proved.

The other is how to discretize the convection term especially for convection-dominated (small viscosity, high Reynolds number) problems. In order to deal with such problems a lot of ideas have been proposed, e.g., upwind methods [1,4,7,13,17,18,32,34], characteristics(-based) methods $[3,9,11,12,21-24,26-29]$ and so on. We note that the approximation based on the method of characteristics is one of the least diffusive methods among them and has such a common advantage that the resulting matrix of the system of linear equations is symmetric, which enables us to use efficient linear iterative solvers for symmetric matrices, i.e., MINRES, CR and so on [2,30].

In this paper we propose a combined finite element scheme with a pressure-stabilization and a characteristics method for the Oseen equations, and prove its stability and error estimates. The characteristics method works for convection-dominated problems, and the pressure-stabilization is employed for the use of the cheap P1/P1 finite element. Since the scheme is symmetric by virtue of the characteristics method, we can use efficient linear iterative solvers for symmetric matrices. The resulting matrix is identical with respect to the time step and it is enough to make the matrix only once at the first time step. The scheme is essentially unconditionally stable and has a first order convergence property both in time and space.

Let $m$ be a non-negative integer and $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{d}(d=2,3)$. We use the Sobolev spaces $W^{m, \infty}(\Omega)$ and $H^{m}(\Omega)$ as well as $C^{m}(\bar{\Omega})$. For any normed space $X$ with norm $\|\cdot\|_{X}$, we define function spaces $C^{m}([0, T] ; X)$ and $H^{m}(0, T ; X)$ consisting of $X$-valued functions in $C^{m}([0, T])$ and $H^{m}(0, T)$, respectively. We use the same notation $(\cdot, \cdot)$ to represent the $L^{2}(\Omega)$ inner product for scalar-, vector- and matrix-valued functions. $L_{0}^{2}(\Omega)$ is a subspace of $L^{2}(\Omega)$ defined by

$$
L_{0}^{2}(\Omega) \equiv\left\{q \in L^{2}(\Omega) ;(q, 1)=0\right\} .
$$

We often omit $[0, T], \Omega$ and/or $d$ if there is no confusion, e.g., $C^{0}\left(H^{1}\right)$ in place of $C^{0}\left([0, T] ; H^{1}(\Omega)^{d}\right)$. For $t_{0}$ and $t_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$ we introduce function spaces

$$
Z^{m}\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right) \equiv\left\{v \in H^{j}\left(t_{0}, t_{1} ; H^{m-j}(\Omega)^{d}\right) ; j=0, \cdots, m,\|v\|_{Z^{m}\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right)}<\infty\right\}
$$

and $Z^{m} \equiv Z^{m}(0, T)$, where the norm $\|v\|_{Z^{m}\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right)}$ is defined by

$$
\|v\|_{Z^{m}\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right)} \equiv \max _{j=0, \cdots, m}\|v\|_{H^{j}\left(t_{0}, t_{1} ; H^{m-j}(\Omega)^{d}\right)} .
$$

The abbreviations LHS and RHS mean left- and right-hand sides, respectively.

## 2 A pressure-stabilized characteristics finite element scheme

In this section we present our pressure-stabilized characteristics finite element scheme for the Oseen equations.

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{d}(d=2,3), \Gamma \equiv \partial \Omega$ be the boundary of $\Omega$ and $T$ be a positive constant. We consider an initial boundary value problem; find $(u, p): \Omega \times(0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{D u}{D t}-\nabla(2 v D(u))+\nabla p+\lambda u & =f & & \text { in } \Omega \times(0, T),  \tag{1a}\\
\nabla \cdot u & =0 & & \text { in } \Omega \times(0, T), \\
u & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma \times(0, T), \\
u & =u^{0} & & \text { in } \Omega, \text { at } t=0,
\end{align*}
$$

where $u$ is the velocity, $p$ is the pressure, $f: \Omega \times(0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is a given external force, $u^{0}: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is a given initial velocity, $\lambda: \Omega \times(0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ is a given reaction rate, $v \in\left(0, v_{0}\right]$ is a viscosity for a fixed $v_{0}>0, D(u)$ is a strain-rate tensor defined by

$$
D_{i j}(u) \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}+\frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{i}}\right) \quad(i, j=1, \cdots, d),
$$

$D / D t$ is a material derivation defined by

$$
\frac{D}{D t} \equiv \frac{D}{D t_{w}} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+w \cdot \nabla,
$$

where $w: \Omega \times(0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is a given velocity.
Remark 1. If $w$ is replaced by $u$ and $\lambda=0$, (1) becomes the Navier-Stokes problem. Here, we focus on the Oseen problem (1). The discussion of the Navier-Stokes problem will be presented in the forthcoming paper [25].

We impose assumptions for the given velocity $w$ and reaction rate $\lambda$.
Hypothesis 1. The function w satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
w \in C^{0}\left([0, T] ; W^{1, \infty}(\Omega)^{d}\right), \\
w=0 \text { on } \Gamma \times[0, T] .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Hypothesis 2. The function $\lambda$ satisfies

$$
\lambda \in C^{0}\left([0, T] ; L^{\infty}(\Omega)^{d \times d}\right)
$$

Let $V \equiv H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)^{d}$ and $Q \equiv L_{0}^{2}(\Omega)$ be function spaces. We define bilinear forms $a$ on $V \times V, b$ on $V \times Q$ and $\mathscr{A}$ on $(V \times Q) \times(V \times Q)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a(u, v) \equiv 2(D(u), D(v)), \quad b(v, q) \equiv-(\nabla \cdot v, q), \\
& \mathscr{A}((u, p),(v, q)) \equiv v a(u, v)+b(v, p)+b(u, q),
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively. Then, we can write the weak formulation of (1); find $(u, p):(0, T) \rightarrow V \times Q$ such that, for $t \in(0, T)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{D u}{D t}(t), v\right)+\mathscr{A}((u, p)(t),(v, q))+(\lambda(t) u(t), v)=(f(t), v), \quad \forall(v, q) \in V \times Q \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $u(0)=u^{0}$.
We introduce a basic idea of the method of characteristics. Let $X:(0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be a solution of the ordinary differential equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d X}{d t}=w(X, t) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for a smooth function $u: \Omega \times(0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ it holds that

$$
\frac{D u}{D t}(X(t), t)=\frac{d}{d t} u(X(t), t) .
$$

Let $\Delta t$ be a time increment, $t^{n} \equiv n \Delta t$ for $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$ and $X\left(\cdot ; x, t^{n}\right)$ be the solution of (3) satisfying an initial condition $X\left(t^{n}\right)=x$. Then, we can consider a first order approximation of the material derivative at $t=t^{n}(n \geq 1)$ as follows.

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{D u}{D t}\left(x, t^{n}\right) & =\left.\frac{d}{d t} u\left(X\left(t ; x, t^{n}\right), t\right)\right|_{t=t^{n}} \\
& =\frac{u\left(X\left(t^{n} ; x, t^{n}\right), t^{n}\right)-u\left(X\left(t^{n-1} ; x, t^{n}\right), t^{n-1}\right)}{\Delta t}+O(\Delta t) \\
& =\frac{u^{n}-u^{n-1} \circ X_{1}^{n}}{\Delta t}(x)+O(\Delta t), \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $X_{1}^{n}(x)$ is a function defined by

$$
X_{1}^{n}(x) \equiv x-w^{n}(x) \Delta t,
$$

and we have used notations, $u^{n} \equiv u\left(\cdot, t^{n}\right)$ and

$$
v \circ X_{1}^{n}(x) \equiv v\left(X_{1}^{n}(x)\right) .
$$

The point $X_{1}^{n}(x)$ is called an upwind point of $x$. The approximation (4) of $D u / D t$ is a basic idea to devise numerical schemes based on the method of characteristics. The idea has been combined with finite element and difference methods, cf. [9,22, 24, 27, 29].

The next proposition proved in [29] gives a sufficient condition to guarantee all upwind points are in $\Omega$.

Proposition 1 ( [29], Proposition 1). Under Hypothesis 1 and the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta t<\frac{1}{\|w\|_{C^{0}\left(W^{1, \infty}(\Omega)\right)}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

it holds that, for any $n=0, \cdots, N_{T}$,

$$
X_{1}^{n}(\Omega)=\Omega .
$$

For the sake of simplicity we assume $\Omega$ is a polygonal $(d=2)$ or polyhedral $(d=3)$ domain. Let $\mathscr{T}_{h}=\{K\}$ be a triangulation of $\bar{\Omega}\left(=\bigcup_{K \in \mathscr{T}_{h}} K\right), h_{K}$ be a diameter of $K \in \mathscr{T}_{h}$, and $h \equiv \max _{K \in \mathscr{T}_{h}} h_{K}$ be the maximum element size. We consider a regular family of subdivisions $\left\{\mathscr{T}_{h}\right\}_{h \downarrow 0}$ satisfying the inverse assumption [8], i.e., there exists a positive constant $\alpha_{0}$, independent of $h$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{h}{h_{K}} \leq \alpha_{0}, \quad \forall K \in \bigcup_{h} \mathscr{T}_{h} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define function spaces $X_{h}, M_{h}, V_{h}$ and $Q_{h}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{h} & \equiv\left\{v_{h} \in C^{0}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{h}\right)^{d} ;\left.v_{h}\right|_{K} \in P_{1}(K)^{d}, \forall K \in \mathscr{T}_{h}\right\}, \\
M_{h} & \equiv\left\{q_{h} \in C^{0}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{h}\right) ;\left.q_{h}\right|_{K} \in P_{1}(K), \forall K \in \mathscr{T}_{h}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$V_{h} \equiv X_{h} \cap V$ and $Q_{h} \equiv M_{h} \cap Q$, respectively, where $P_{1}(K)$ is a polynomial space of linear functions on $K \in \mathscr{T}_{h}$. Let $N_{T} \equiv[T / \Delta t]$ be a total number of time steps, $\delta_{0}$ be a positive constant and $(\cdot, \cdot)_{K}$ be the $L^{2}(K)^{d}$ inner product. We define bilinear forms $\mathscr{C}_{h}$ on $H^{1}(\Omega) \times H^{1}(\Omega)$ and $\mathscr{A}_{h}$ on $(V \times$ $\left.H^{1}(\Omega)\right) \times\left(V \times H^{1}(\Omega)\right)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{C}_{h}(p, q) & \equiv-\delta_{0} \sum_{K \in \mathscr{T}_{h}} h_{K}^{2}(\nabla p, \nabla q)_{K}, \\
\mathscr{A}_{h}((u, p),(v, q)) & \equiv v a(u, v)+b(v, p)+b(u, q)+\frac{1}{v} \mathscr{C}_{h}(p, q),
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively. Suppose that $f \in C^{0}\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right)$ and $u^{0} \in V$. Let an approximate function $u_{h}^{0} \in$ $V_{h}$ of $u^{0}$ be given. Our pressure-stabilized characteristics finite element scheme for (1) is to find $\left\{\left(u_{h}^{n}, p_{h}^{n}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{N_{T}} \subset V_{h} \times Q_{h}$ such that, for $n=1, \cdots, N_{T}$,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(\frac{u_{h}^{n}-u_{h}^{n-1} \circ X_{1}^{n}}{\Delta t}, v_{h}\right)+\mathscr{A}_{h}\left(\left(u_{h}^{n}, p_{h}^{n}\right),\left(v_{h}, q_{h}\right)\right)+\left(\lambda^{n} u_{h}^{n-1}, v_{h}\right) \\
=\left(f^{n}, v_{h}\right), \quad \forall\left(v_{h}, q_{h}\right) \in V_{h} \times Q_{h} . \tag{7}
\end{array}
$$

Remark 2. (i) We can replace the third term by $\left(\lambda^{n} u_{h}^{n}, v_{h}\right)$ and prove the stability and convergence of the scheme. The scheme, however, loses such an advantage of the Galerkin characteristics method that the resulting matrix is symmetric unless $\lambda$ is symmetric. That is the reason why we consider scheme (7). (ii) The choice of the coefficient $1 / v$ before $\mathscr{C}_{h}(p, q)$ is natural from the theoretical point of view as shown in Lemma 5 below. (iii) Scheme (7) leads to the symmetric matrix of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A & B^{T} \\
B & C
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $A, B$ and $C$ are corresponding to $\frac{1}{\Delta t}\left(u_{h}^{n}, v_{h}\right)+v a\left(u_{h}^{n}, v_{h}\right), b\left(u_{h}^{n}, q_{h}\right)$ and $\frac{1}{v} \mathscr{C}_{h}\left(p_{h}^{n}, q_{h}\right)$, respectively, and the matrix is independent of the given velocity $w$ and time step $n$.

We also prepare the following problem which generalizes (7). Let $f_{h}=\left\{f_{h}^{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{N_{T}} \subset L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}$, $g_{h}=\left\{g_{h}^{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{N_{T}} \subset H^{1}(\Omega)$ and $u_{h}^{0} \in V_{h}$ be given. The problem is to find $\left\{\left(u_{h}^{n}, p_{h}^{n}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{N_{T}} \subset V_{h} \times Q_{h}$ such that, for $n=1, \cdots, N_{T}$,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(\frac{u_{h}^{n}-u_{h}^{n-1} \circ X_{1}^{n}}{\Delta t}, v_{h}\right)+\mathscr{A}_{h}\left(\left(u_{h}^{n}, p_{h}^{n}\right),\left(v_{h}, q_{h}\right)\right)+\left(\lambda^{n} u_{h}^{n-1}, v_{h}\right) \\
=\left(f_{h}^{n}, v_{h}\right)+\frac{1}{v} \mathscr{C}_{h}\left(g_{h}^{n}, q_{h}\right), \quad \forall\left(v_{h}, q_{h}\right) \in V_{h} \times Q_{h} . \tag{8}
\end{array}
$$

Remark 3. When $f_{h}=f$ and $g_{h}=0$, problem (8) reduces to (7).

## 3 Main results

In this section we present the main results of stability and error estimates, which are proved in section 4.

We use $c$ to represent the generic positive constant independent of the discretization parameters $h$ and $\Delta t$, and it can take different values at different places. $c(A)$ means a positive constant, which monotonically increases as $A$ increases. For $i=0,1,2$ and 3 constants $c_{i}$ have particular meanings in this paper,

$$
c_{0}=c\left(\|w\|_{C^{0}\left(L^{\infty}\right)}\right), \quad c_{1}=c\left(\|w\|_{C^{0}\left(W^{1, \infty}\right)}\right), \quad c_{2}=c\left(\|\lambda\|_{C^{0}\left(L^{\infty}\right)}\right), \quad c_{3}=\max \left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}
$$

respectively. We use norms and seminorms, $\|\cdot\|_{k} \equiv\|\cdot\|_{H^{k}(\Omega)}(k=0,1,2),\|\cdot\|_{V_{h}} \equiv\|\cdot\|_{V} \equiv\|\cdot\|_{1}$, $\|\cdot\|_{Q_{h}} \equiv\|\cdot\|_{Q} \equiv\|\cdot\|_{0},\|(v, q)\|_{V \times Q, v} \equiv\left\{v\left\|_{v}\right\|_{V}^{2}+(1 / v)\|q\|_{Q}^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2},\|(v, q)\|_{V \times Q} \equiv\|(v, q)\|_{V \times Q, 1}$, $\|(v, q)\|_{H^{2} \times H^{1}, v} \equiv\left\{v\|v\|_{2}^{2}+(1 / v)\|q\|_{1}^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|u\|_{l^{\infty}(X)} \equiv \max _{n=0, \cdots, N_{T}}\left\|u^{n}\right\|_{X}, \quad\|u\|_{l^{2}(X)} \equiv\left\{\Delta t \sum_{n=1}^{N_{T}}\left\|u^{n}\right\|_{X}^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2} \\
& |q|_{h} \equiv\left\{\sum_{K \in \mathscr{T}_{h}} h_{K}^{2}(\nabla q, \nabla q)_{K}\right\}^{1 / 2}, \quad|p|_{l^{2}\left(|\cdot|_{h}\right)} \equiv\left\{\Delta t \sum_{n=1}^{N_{T}}\left|p^{n}\right|_{h}^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2} \\
& \|(u, p)\|_{H^{1}\left(t_{0}, t_{1} ; H^{2} \times H^{1}, v\right)} \equiv\left\{\sum_{k=0,1}\left\|\frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial t^{k}}(u, p)(t)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(t_{0}, t_{1} ; H^{2} \times H^{1}, v\right)}^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2},
\end{aligned}
$$

for $X=L^{2}(\Omega)$ and $H^{1}(\Omega) \cdot \bar{D}_{\Delta t}$ is the backward difference operator defined by

$$
\bar{D}_{\Delta t} a^{n} \equiv \frac{a^{n}-a^{n-1}}{\Delta t}
$$

First we show the stability result.
Theorem 1 (stability). (i) Suppose that Hypotheses 1 and 2 hold. Let $\Delta t_{0}$ be any fixed positive number satisfying (5). For any $\Delta t \in\left(0, \Delta t_{0}\right], f_{h}=\left\{f_{h}^{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{N_{T}} \subset L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}, g_{h}=\left\{g_{h}^{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{N_{T}} \subset H^{1}(\Omega)$ and $u_{h}^{0} \in V_{h}$, there exists a unique solution $\left(u_{h}, p_{h}\right)$ of scheme (8), and it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{l^{\infty}\left(L^{2}\right)}, \quad \sqrt{v}\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{l^{2}\left(H^{1}\right)}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{v}}\left|p_{h}\right|_{l^{2}\left(|\cdot|_{h}\right)} \leq c_{3}\left(\left\|u_{h}^{0}\right\|_{0}+\left\|f_{h}\right\|_{l^{2}\left(L^{2}\right)}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{v}}\left|g_{h}\right|_{l^{2}\left(|\cdot|_{h}\right)}\right) . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) Moreover, suppose that there exist $p_{h}^{0} \in Q_{h}$ and $g_{h}^{0} \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b\left(u_{h}^{0}, q_{h}\right)+\frac{1}{v} \mathscr{C}_{h}\left(p_{h}^{0}, q_{h}\right)=\frac{1}{v} \mathscr{C}_{h}\left(g_{h}^{0}, q_{h}\right), \quad \forall q_{h} \in Q_{h} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, it holds that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sqrt{v}\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{l^{\infty}\left(H^{1}\right)},\left\|\bar{D}_{\Delta t} u_{h}\right\|_{l^{2}\left(L^{2}\right)},\left\|p_{h}\right\|_{l^{2}\left(L^{2}\right)} \\
& \quad \leq c_{3}(1 / v)\left(\left\|u_{h}^{0}\right\|_{1}+\left|p_{h}^{0}\right|_{h}+\left\|f_{h}\right\|_{l^{2}\left(L^{2}\right)}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{v}}\left|g_{h}\right|_{l^{2}\left(|\cdot|_{h}\right)}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{v}}\left|\bar{D}_{\Delta t} g_{h}\right|_{l^{2}\left(\left.|\cdot|\right|_{h}\right)}\right) . \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Corollary 1. (i) Suppose that Hypotheses 1 and 2 hold. Let $f \in C^{0}\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right)$ be given. Let $\Delta t_{0}$ be any fixed positive number satisfying (5). For any $\Delta t \in\left(0, \Delta t_{0}\right]$ and $u_{h}^{0} \in V_{h}$ there exists a unique solution ( $u_{h}, p_{h}$ ) of scheme (7), and it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{l^{\infty}\left(L^{2}\right)}, \quad \sqrt{v}\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{l^{2}\left(H^{1}\right)}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{v}}\left|p_{h}\right|_{l^{2}\left(|\cdot|_{h}\right)} \leq c_{3}\left(\left\|u_{h}^{0}\right\|_{0}+\|f\|_{l^{2}\left(L^{2}\right)}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) Moreover, suppose there exists $p_{h}^{0} \in Q_{h}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b\left(u_{h}^{0}, q_{h}\right)+\frac{1}{v} \mathscr{C}_{h}\left(p_{h}^{0}, q_{h}\right)=0, \quad \forall q_{h} \in Q_{h} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, it holds that

$$
\sqrt{v}\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{l^{\infty}\left(H^{1}\right)},\left\|\bar{D}_{\Delta t} u_{h}\right\|_{l^{2}\left(L^{2}\right)},\left\|p_{h}\right\|_{l^{2}\left(L^{2}\right)} \leq c_{3}(1 / v)\left(\left\|u_{h}^{0}\right\|_{1}+\left|p_{h}^{0}\right|_{h}+\|f\|_{l^{2}\left(L^{2}\right)}\right) .
$$

Remark 4. (i) Since the constant $c_{3}$ in (12) is independent of $v$, scheme (7) is stable even when $v$ tends to 0 . (ii) Corollary 1 is obtained by setting $f_{h}=f$ and $g_{h}=0$ in Theorem 1. (iii) The relation (13) is satisfied if $\left(u_{h}^{0}, p_{h}^{0}\right) \in V_{h} \times Q_{h}$ is chosen as Stokes projection of $\left(u^{0}, 0\right)(c f$. Definition 1 below).

We give error estimates after preparing a (pressure-stabilized) Stokes projection using P1/P1element and two hypotheses.
Definition 1 (Stokes projection). For $(u, p) \in V \times\left(Q \cap H^{1}(\Omega)\right)$ we define the Stokes projection $\left(\hat{u}_{h}, \hat{p}_{h}\right) \in V_{h} \times Q_{h}$ of $(u, p)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{A}_{h}\left(\left(\hat{u}_{h}, \hat{p}_{h}\right),\left(v_{h}, q_{h}\right)\right)=\mathscr{A}_{h}\left((u, p),\left(v_{h}, q_{h}\right)\right), \quad \forall\left(v_{h}, q_{h}\right) \in V_{h} \times Q_{h} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hypothesis 3. The function $u^{0}$ satisfies compatibility conditions $\nabla \cdot u^{0}=0$ and $u^{0} \in V$.
Hypothesis 4. The solution $(u, p)$ of (2) satisfies $u \in C^{0}\left([0, T] ; V \cap H^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right) \cap Z^{2} \cap H^{1}\left(0, T ; H^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right)$ and $p \in C^{0}\left([0, T] ; Q \cap H^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap H^{1}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right)$.

Theorem 2 (error estimate). (i) Let ( $u, p$ ) be the solution of (2). Suppose Hypotheses 1-4 hold. Let $\Delta t_{0}$ be any fixed positive number satisfying (5). Then, for any $\Delta t \in\left(0, \Delta t_{0}\right]$ the solution $\left(u_{h}, p_{h}\right)$ of scheme (7) satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|u_{h}-u\right\|_{l^{\infty}\left(L^{2}\right)}, \quad \sqrt{v}\left\|u_{h}-u\right\|_{l^{2}\left(H^{1}\right)}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{v}}\left|p_{h}-p\right|_{l^{2}\left(\left.| |\right|_{h}\right)} \\
& \quad \leq c_{3}\left(\left\|u_{h}^{0}-u^{0}\right\|_{0}+\Delta t\|u\|_{Z^{2}}+\frac{h}{\sqrt{v}}\|(u, p)\|_{C^{0}\left([0, T] ; H^{2} \times H^{1}, v\right) \cap H^{1}\left(0, T ; H^{2} \times H^{1}, v\right)}\right) \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

(ii) Moreover, suppose $u_{h}^{0}$ is the first component of the Stokes projection of $\left(u^{0}, 0\right)$ by (14). Then, it holds that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sqrt{v}\left\|u_{h}-u\right\|_{l^{\infty}\left(H^{1}\right)},\left\|\bar{D}_{\Delta t} u_{h}-\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right\|_{l^{2}\left(L^{2}\right)},\left\|p_{h}-p\right\|_{l^{2}\left(L^{2}\right)} \\
& \quad \leq c_{3}(1 / v)\left(\Delta t\|u\|_{Z^{2}}+\frac{h}{\sqrt{v}}\|(u, p)\|_{C^{0}\left([0, T] ; H^{2} \times H^{1}, v\right) \cap H^{1}\left(0, T ; H^{2} \times H^{1}, v\right)}\right) . \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 5. (i) RHS of (15) is of $O(\Delta t+h)$ if $u_{h}^{0} \in V_{h}$ satisfies $\left\|u_{h}^{0}-u^{0}\right\|_{0} \leq$ ch, e.g., we can take $u_{h}^{0}=\Pi_{h} u^{0}$ for the linear interpolation operator $\Pi_{h}: C^{0}(\bar{\Omega}) \rightarrow V_{h}$, or the first component of the Stokes projection of $\left(u^{0}, 0\right)$ by (14). (ii) In (15) the constant $c_{3}$ is independent of $v$.

## 4 Proofs of Theorems 1 and 2

This section is devoted to the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2.

### 4.1 Preliminaries

First we prepare six lemmas and a proposition to be used in the proofs. We omit the proofs of the first three lemmas only by referring to the related bibliography.

Lemma 1 (discrete Gronwall inequality, $[16,33]$ ). Let $a_{0}$ and $a_{1}$ be non-negative numbers, $\Delta t \in$ $\left(0,1 /\left(2 a_{0}\right)\right]$ be a number, and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0},\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ and $\left\{b_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ be non-negative sequences. Suppose

$$
\bar{D}_{\Delta t} x_{n}+y_{n} \leq a_{0} x_{n}+a_{1} x_{n-1}+b_{n}, \quad \forall n \geq 1
$$

Then, it holds that

$$
x_{n}+\Delta t \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} \leq \exp \left\{3\left(a_{0}+a_{1}\right) n \Delta t\right\}\left(x_{0}+\Delta t \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}\right), \quad \forall n \geq 1
$$

Lemma 2 (Korn inequality, [10]). Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain with a Lipschitz-continuous boundary. Then, we have the followings.
(i) There exists a positive constant $\alpha_{1}$ such that

$$
\left(\|D(v)\|_{0}^{2}+\|v\|_{0}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \geq \alpha_{1}\|v\|_{1}, \quad \forall v \in H^{1}(\Omega)^{d} .
$$

(ii) The norms $\|D(\cdot)\|_{0}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{1}$ are equivalent in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)^{d}$.

Lemma 3 ( [29]). Assume Hypothesis 1 and (5). Then, it holds that, for any $n \in\left\{0, \cdots, N_{T}\right\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v \circ X_{1}^{n}\right\|_{0} \leq\left(1+c_{1} \Delta t\right)\|v\|_{0}, \quad \forall v \in L^{2}(\Omega)^{d} . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The next lemma is proved easily by a scaling argument.
Lemma 4. Let $\left\{\mathscr{T}_{h}\right\}_{h \downarrow 0}$ be a regular family of triangulations of $\bar{\Omega}$. Then, there exists a positive constant $\alpha_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|q_{h}\right|_{h} \leq \alpha_{2}\left\|q_{h}\right\|_{0}, \quad \forall q_{h} \in Q_{h} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The next lemma shows a modified version of the stability inequality in [5, 14], and the lemma easily yields the following Proposition 2.

Lemma 5. There exists a positive constant $\gamma_{0}$, independent of $h$ and $v$, such that

Proof. Introducing $\left(\tilde{u}_{h}, \tilde{p}_{h}\right) \equiv\left(\sqrt{v} u_{h},(1 / \sqrt{v}) p_{h}\right)$ and $\left(\tilde{v}_{h}, \tilde{q}_{h}\right) \equiv\left(\sqrt{v} v_{h},(1 / \sqrt{v}) q_{h}\right)$, we have
LHS of (19)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\inf _{\left(u_{h}, p_{h}\right) \in V_{h} \times Q_{h}} \sup _{\left(v_{h}, q_{h}\right) \in V_{h} \times Q_{h}} \frac{v a\left(u_{h}, v_{h}\right)+b\left(v_{h}, p_{h}\right)+b\left(u_{h}, q_{h}\right)+\frac{1}{v} \mathscr{C}_{h}\left(p_{h}, q_{h}\right)}{\left\|\left(u_{h}, p_{h}\right)\right\|_{V \times Q, v}\left\|\left(v_{h}, q_{h}\right)\right\|_{V \times Q, v}} \\
& =\inf _{\left(\tilde{u}_{h}, \tilde{p}_{h}\right) \in V_{h} \times Q_{h}} \sup _{\left(\tilde{v}_{h}, \tilde{q}_{h}\right) \in V_{h} \times Q_{h}} \frac{a\left(\tilde{u}_{h}, \tilde{v}_{h}\right)+b\left(\tilde{v}_{h}, \tilde{p}_{h}\right)+b\left(\tilde{u}_{h}, \tilde{q}_{h}\right)+\mathscr{C}_{h}\left(\tilde{p}_{h}, \tilde{q}_{h}\right)}{\left\|\left(\tilde{u}_{h}, \tilde{p}_{h}\right)\right\|_{V \times Q}\left\|\left(\tilde{v}_{h}, \tilde{q}_{h}\right)\right\|_{V \times Q}} \\
& \geq \gamma_{0},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality has been proved in [5, 14].

Remark 6. Although the conventional inf-sup condition [15],

$$
\inf _{q_{h} \in Q_{h}} \sup _{v_{h} \in V_{h}} \frac{b\left(v_{h}, q_{h}\right)}{\left\|v_{h}\right\|_{1}\left\|q_{h}\right\|_{0}} \geq \beta^{*}>0
$$

does not hold for the pair $V_{h}$ and $Q_{h}$ of the P1/P1 finite element spaces, $\mathscr{A}_{h}$ satisfies the stability inequality (19) for this pair.

Proposition 2. Suppose $(u, p) \in\left(V \cap H^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right) \times\left(Q \cap H^{1}(\Omega)\right)$, and u satisfies $\nabla \cdot u=0$. Let $\left(\hat{u}_{h}, \hat{p}_{h}\right)$ be the Stokes projection of $(u, p)$ by (14). Then, it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{v}\left\|u-\hat{u}_{h}\right\|_{1}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{v}}\left\|p-\hat{p}_{h}\right\|_{0}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{v}}\left|p-\hat{p}_{h}\right|_{h} \leq c h\|(u, p)\|_{H^{2} \times H^{1}, v} . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 6. Assume Hypothesis 1. Let $\Delta t_{0}$ be any fixed positive number satisfying (5). Then, for any $\Delta t \in\left(0, \Delta t_{0}\right]$ and $n \in\left\{0, \cdots, N_{T}\right\}$ it holds that

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\left\|u-u \circ X_{1}^{n}\right\|_{0} \leq c_{1} \Delta t\|u\|_{1}, & \forall u \in V, \\
\left(u-u \circ X_{1}^{n}, v\right) \leq c_{1}^{\prime} \Delta t\|u\|_{0}\|v\|_{1}, & \forall u, v \in V . \tag{21b}
\end{array}
$$

Proof. Let any $n \in\left\{0, \cdots, N_{T}\right\}$ be fixed. Let $y(x) \equiv X_{1}^{n}(x)$, and $J(x) \equiv \operatorname{det}(\partial y / \partial x)>0$ be the Jacobian. Using $J(x)^{-1} \leq 1+c_{1} \Delta t$,

$$
u(x)-u(y)=[u(x+s(y-x))]_{s=1}^{0}=\Delta t \int_{0}^{1}\left[\left\{w^{n}(x) \cdot \nabla\right\} u\right](x+s(y-x)) d s,
$$

and the Schwarz inequality, we obtain (21a).
(21b) is proved as follows,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { LHS of (21b) } & =(u, v)-\left(u \circ X_{1}^{n}, v\right)=(u, v)-\int_{\Omega} u(y) v\left(\left(X_{1}^{n}\right)^{-1}(y)\right) J(x)^{-1} d y \\
& \leq\|u\|_{0}\left\{\int_{\Omega}\left\{v(y)-v\left(\left(X_{1}^{n}\right)^{-1}(y)\right) J(x)^{-1}\right\}^{2} d y\right\}^{1 / 2} \\
& =\|u\|_{0}\left\{\int_{\Omega}\left\{v \circ X_{1}^{n}(x)-v(x) J(x)^{-1}\right\}^{2} J(x) d x\right\}^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq c_{1}\|u\|_{0}\left\{\left\|v \circ X_{1}^{n}-v\right\|_{0}+\left\|v-v J^{-1}\right\|_{0}\right\} \leq c_{1} \Delta t\|u\|_{0}\left\{\|v\|_{1}+\|v\|_{0}\right\} \\
& \leq c_{1} \Delta t\|u\|_{0}\|v\|_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 4.2 Proof of Theorem 1-(i)

Let $\left(u_{h}, p_{h}\right)=\left\{\left(u_{h}^{n}, p_{h}^{n}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{N_{T}} \subset V_{h} \times Q_{h}$ be the solution of scheme (8). Substituting $\left(u_{h}^{n},-p_{h}^{n}\right) \in$ $V_{h} \times Q_{h}$ into $\left(v_{h}, q_{h}\right)$ in (8), we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(\frac{u_{h}^{n}-u_{h}^{n-1} \circ X_{1}^{n}}{\Delta t}, u_{h}^{n}\right)+v a\left(u_{h}^{n}, u_{h}^{n}\right)-\frac{1}{v} \mathscr{C}_{h}\left(p_{h}^{n}, p_{h}^{n}\right)+\left(\lambda^{n} u_{h}^{n-1}, u_{h}^{n}\right) \\
=\left(f_{h}^{n}, u_{h}^{n}\right)-\frac{1}{v} \mathscr{C}_{h}\left(g_{h}^{n}, p_{h}^{n}\right) . \tag{22}
\end{array}
$$

Let $\varepsilon_{i}(i=1,2)$ be any positive numbers. We have, by (21a),

$$
\left(\frac{u_{h}^{n}-u_{h}^{n-1} \circ X_{1}^{n}}{\Delta t}, u_{h}^{n}\right)=\frac{1}{\Delta t}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|u_{h}^{n}\right\|_{0}^{2}-\left\|u_{h}^{n-1} \circ X_{1}^{n}\right\|_{0}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{h}^{n}-u_{h}^{n-1} \circ X_{1}^{n}\right\|_{0}^{2}\right\}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \geq \bar{D}_{\Delta t}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{h}^{n}\right\|_{0}^{2}\right)-c_{1}\left\|u_{h}^{n-1}\right\|_{0}^{2}  \tag{23a}\\
v a\left(u_{h}^{n}, u_{h}^{n}\right) & =2 v\left\|D\left(u_{h}^{n}\right)\right\|_{0}^{2}  \tag{23b}\\
-\frac{1}{v} \mathscr{C}_{h}\left(p_{h}^{n}, p_{h}^{n}\right) & =\frac{\delta_{0}}{v}\left|p_{h}^{n}\right|_{h}^{2}  \tag{23c}\\
\left(\lambda^{n} u_{h}^{n-1}, u_{h}^{n}\right) & \geq-c_{2}\left(\varepsilon_{1}\left\|u_{h}^{n}\right\|_{0}^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon_{1}}\left\|u_{h}^{n-1}\right\|_{0}^{2}\right)  \tag{23d}\\
\left(f_{h}^{n}, u_{h}^{n}\right) & \leq \varepsilon_{2}\left\|u_{h}^{n}\right\|_{0}^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon_{2}}\left\|f_{h}^{n}\right\|_{0}^{2}  \tag{23e}\\
-\frac{1}{v} \mathscr{C}_{h}\left(g_{h}^{n}, p_{h}^{n}\right) & \leq \frac{\delta_{0}}{v}\left|g_{h}^{n}\right| h\left|p_{h}^{n}\right| h \leq \frac{\delta_{0}}{2 v}\left(\left|g_{h}^{n}\right|_{h}^{2}+\left|p_{h}^{n}\right|_{h}^{2}\right) \tag{23f}
\end{align*}
$$

From (22) and (23) it holds that, for $n=1, \cdots, N_{T}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{D}_{\Delta t}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{h}^{n}\right\|_{0}^{2}\right)+2 v\left\|D\left(u_{h}^{n}\right)\right\|_{0}^{2}+\frac{\delta_{0}}{2 v}\left|p_{h}^{n}\right|_{h}^{2} \\
& \quad \leq\left(c_{2} \varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}\right)\left\|u_{h}^{n}\right\|_{0}^{2}+\left(c_{1}+\frac{c_{2}}{4 \varepsilon_{1}}\right)\left\|u_{h}^{n-1}\right\|_{0}^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon_{2}}\left\|f_{h}^{n}\right\|_{0}^{2}+\frac{\delta_{0}}{2 v}\left|g_{h}^{n}\right|_{h}^{2} \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying Lemma 1 to (24) with proper $\varepsilon_{i}(i=1,2)$ satisfying $\Delta t_{0} \leq 1 /\left\{4\left(c_{2} \varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}\right)\right\}$, we obtain (9).

### 4.3 Proof of Theorem 1-(ii)

From (8) with $v_{h}=0 \in V_{h}$ and (10), it holds that, for $n=0, \cdots, N_{T}$,

$$
b\left(u_{h}^{n}, q_{h}\right)+\frac{1}{v} \mathscr{C}_{h}\left(p_{h}^{n}, q_{h}\right)=\frac{1}{v} \mathscr{C}_{h}\left(g_{h}^{n}, q_{h}\right), \quad \forall q_{h} \in Q_{h}
$$

which gives, for $n=1, \cdots, N_{T}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
b\left(\bar{D}_{\Delta t} u_{h}^{n}, q_{h}\right)+\frac{1}{v} \mathscr{C}_{h}\left(\bar{D}_{\Delta t} p_{h}^{n}, q_{h}\right)=\frac{1}{v} \mathscr{C}_{h}\left(\bar{D}_{\Delta t} g_{h}^{n}, q_{h}\right), \quad \forall q_{h} \in Q_{h} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting $\left(\bar{D}_{\Delta t} u_{h}^{n}, 0\right) \in V_{h} \times Q_{h}$ into $\left(v_{h}, q_{h}\right)$ in (8) and using (25) with $q_{h}=-p_{h}^{n}$, we have, for $n=1, \cdots, N_{T}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{u_{h}^{n}-u_{h}^{n-1} \circ X_{1}^{n}}{\Delta t}, \bar{D}_{\Delta t} u_{h}^{n}\right)+v a\left(u_{h}^{n}, \bar{D}_{\Delta t} u_{h}^{n}\right) & -\frac{1}{v} \mathscr{C}_{h}\left(\bar{D}_{\Delta t} p_{h}^{n}, p_{h}^{n}\right)+\left(\lambda^{n} u_{h}^{n-1}, \bar{D}_{\Delta t} u_{h}^{n}\right) \\
& =\left(f_{h}^{n}, \bar{D}_{\Delta t} u_{h}^{n}\right)-\frac{1}{v} \mathscr{C}_{h}\left(\bar{D}_{\Delta t} g_{h}^{n}, p_{h}^{n}\right) \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

We evaluate each term in (26) as follows.

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{u_{h}^{n}-u_{h}^{n-1} \circ X_{1}^{n}}{\Delta t}, \bar{D}_{\Delta t} u_{h}^{n}\right) & =\left(\bar{D}_{\Delta t} u_{h}^{n}+\frac{1}{\Delta t}\left(u_{h}^{n-1}-u_{h}^{n-1} \circ X_{1}^{n}\right), \bar{D}_{\Delta t} u_{h}^{n}\right) \\
& =\left\|\bar{D}_{\Delta t} u_{h}^{n}\right\|_{0}^{2}+\frac{1}{\Delta t}\left(u_{h}^{n-1}-u_{h}^{n-1} \circ X_{1}^{n}, \bar{D}_{\Delta t} u_{h}^{n}\right) \\
& \geq\left\|\bar{D}_{\Delta t} u_{h}^{n}\right\|_{0}^{2}-\left(c_{1}\left\|u_{h}^{n-1}\right\|_{1}^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\left\|\bar{D}_{\Delta t} u_{h}^{n}\right\|_{0}^{2}\right) \quad(\text { by (21a)) } \\
& \geq \frac{3}{4}\left\|\bar{D}_{\Delta t} u_{h}^{n}\right\|_{0}^{2}-c_{1}\left\|D\left(u_{h}^{n-1}\right)\right\|_{0}^{2} \tag{27a}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
v a\left(u_{h}^{n}, \bar{D}_{\Delta t} u_{h}^{n}\right) & =\bar{D}_{\Delta t}\left(\frac{v}{2} a\left(u_{h}^{n}, u_{h}^{n}\right)\right)+\frac{v \Delta t}{2} a\left(\bar{D}_{\Delta t} u_{h}^{n}, \bar{D}_{\Delta t} u_{h}^{n}\right) \\
& =\bar{D}_{\Delta t}\left(v\left\|D\left(u_{h}^{n}\right)\right\|_{0}^{2}\right)+v \Delta t\left\|D\left(\bar{D}_{\Delta t} u_{h}^{n}\right)\right\|_{0}^{2},  \tag{27b}\\
-\frac{1}{v} \mathscr{C}_{h}\left(\bar{D}_{\Delta t} p_{h}^{n}, p_{h}^{n}\right) & =\bar{D}_{\Delta t}\left(-\frac{1}{2 v} \mathscr{C}_{h}\left(p_{h}^{n}, p_{h}^{n}\right)\right)-\frac{\Delta t}{2 v} \mathscr{C}_{h}\left(\bar{D}_{\Delta t} p_{h}^{n}, \bar{D}_{\Delta t} p_{h}^{n}\right) \\
& =\bar{D}_{\Delta t}\left(\frac{\delta_{0}}{2 v}\left|p_{h}^{n}\right|_{h}^{2}\right)+\frac{\delta_{0} \Delta t}{2 v}\left|\bar{D}_{\Delta t} p_{h}^{n}\right|_{h}^{2},  \tag{27c}\\
-\left(\lambda^{n} u_{h}^{n-1}, \bar{D}_{\Delta t} u_{h}^{n}\right) & \leq c_{2}\left\|u_{h}^{n-1}\right\|_{0}^{2}+\frac{1}{8}\left\|\bar{D}_{\Delta t} u_{h}^{n}\right\|_{0}^{2},  \tag{27d}\\
\left(f_{h}^{n}, \bar{D}_{\Delta t} u_{h}^{n}\right) & \leq 2\left\|f_{h}^{n}\right\|_{0}^{2}+\frac{1}{8}\left\|\bar{D}_{\Delta t} u_{h}^{n}\right\|_{0}^{2},  \tag{27e}\\
-\frac{1}{v} \mathscr{C}_{h}\left(\bar{D}_{\Delta t} g_{h}^{n}, p_{h}^{n}\right) & \leq \frac{\delta_{0}}{v}\left(\varepsilon_{3}\left|p_{h}^{n}\right|_{h}^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon_{3}}\left|\bar{D}_{\Delta t} g_{h}^{n}\right|_{h}^{2}\right), \tag{27f}
\end{align*}
$$

for any positive number $\varepsilon_{3}$, where Lemma 2 has been used for (27a). Combining (27) with (26), we have, for $n=1, \cdots, N_{T}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{D}_{\Delta t}\left(v\left\|D\left(u_{h}^{n}\right)\right\|_{0}^{2}+\frac{\delta_{0}}{2 v}\left|p_{h}^{n}\right|_{h}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left\|\bar{D}_{\Delta t} u_{h}^{n}\right\|_{0}^{2} \\
& \quad \leq \varepsilon_{3} \frac{\delta_{0}}{v}\left|p_{h}^{n}\right|_{h}^{2}+\frac{c_{1}}{v} v\left\|D\left(u_{h}^{n-1}\right)\right\|_{0}^{2}+c_{2}\left\|u_{h}^{n-1}\right\|_{0}^{2}+2\left\|f_{h}^{n}\right\|_{0}^{2}+\frac{\delta_{0}}{4 \varepsilon_{3} v}\left|\bar{D}_{\Delta t} g_{h}^{n}\right|_{h}^{2} . \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, the first and second inequalities of (11) are obtained by applying Lemma 1 to (28) with a proper $\varepsilon_{3}$ satisfying $\Delta t_{0} \leq 1 /\left(4 \varepsilon_{3}\right)$ and estimating $\left\|u_{h}^{n-1}\right\|_{0}$ by (9).

Next we prove the third inequality of (11). From Lemmas 4, 5 and 6 it holds that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|p_{h}^{n}\right\|_{0} \leq \sqrt{v}\left\|\left(u_{h}^{n}, p_{h}^{n}\right)\right\|_{V \times Q, v} \leq \frac{\sqrt{v}}{\gamma_{0}} \sup _{\left(v_{h}, q_{h}\right) \in V_{h} \times Q_{h}} \frac{\mathscr{A}_{h}\left(\left(u_{h}^{n}, p_{h}^{n}\right),\left(v_{h}, q_{h}\right)\right)}{\left\|\left(v_{h}, q_{h}\right)\right\|_{V \times Q, v}} \\
& =\frac{\sqrt{v}}{\gamma_{0}} \sup _{\left(v_{h}, q_{h}\right) \in V_{h} \times Q_{h}} \frac{\left(f_{h}^{n}, v_{h}\right)+\frac{1}{v} \mathscr{C}_{h}\left(g_{h}^{n}, q_{h}\right)-\frac{1}{\Delta t}\left(u_{h}^{n}-u_{h}^{n-1} \circ X_{1}^{n}, v_{h}\right)-\left(\lambda^{n} u_{h}^{n-1}, v_{h}\right)}{\left\|\left(v_{h}, q_{h}\right)\right\|_{V \times Q, v}} \\
& \leq \frac{c}{\gamma_{0}}\left\{\left\|f_{h}^{n}\right\|_{0}+\delta_{0}\left|g_{h}^{n}\right|_{h}+\left\|\bar{D}_{\Delta t} u_{h}^{n}\right\|_{0}+\frac{1}{\Delta t} \sup _{v_{h} \in V_{h}} \frac{\left(u_{h}^{n-1}-u_{h}^{n-1} \circ X_{1}^{n}, v_{h}\right)}{\left\|v_{h}\right\|_{1}}+\left\|\lambda^{n} u_{h}^{n-1}\right\|_{0}\right\} \\
& \leq \frac{c_{3}}{\gamma_{0}}\left(\left\|f_{h}^{n}\right\|_{0}+\delta_{0}\left|g_{h}^{n}\right|_{h}+\left\|\bar{D}_{\Delta t} u_{h}^{n}\right\|_{0}+\left\|u_{h}^{n-1}\right\|_{0}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which yields the third inequality of (11) by the first inequality of (9) and the second inequality of (11).

### 4.4 Proof of Theorem 2

Let $\{(u, p)(t) ; t \in(0, T)\} \subset V \times Q$ and $\left(u_{h}, p_{h}\right)=\left\{\left(u_{h}^{n}, p_{h}^{n}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{N_{T}} \subset V_{h} \times Q_{h}$ be the solutions of (2) and scheme (7). Let $\left(\hat{u}_{h}, \hat{p}_{h}\right)(t) \in V_{h} \times Q_{h}$ be the Stokes projection of $(u, p)(t) \in H^{2}(\Omega)^{d} \times H^{1}(\Omega)$ by (14) and set

$$
e_{h}^{n} \equiv u_{h}^{n}-\hat{u}_{h}^{n}, \quad \varepsilon_{h}^{n} \equiv p_{h}^{n}-\hat{p}_{h}^{n}, \quad \eta_{h}(t) \equiv\left(u-\hat{u}_{h}\right)(t) .
$$

For any $\left(v_{h}, q_{h}\right) \in V_{h} \times Q_{h}$, it holds that, from (2), (7), (14) and an identity,

$$
e_{h}^{n}=\eta_{h}^{n}-u^{n}+u_{h}^{n},
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(\frac{e_{h}^{n}-e_{h}^{n-1} \circ X_{1}^{n}}{\Delta t}, v_{h}\right)+v a\left(e_{h}^{n}, v_{h}\right)+b\left(v_{h}, \varepsilon_{h}^{n}\right)+b\left(e_{h}^{n}, q_{h}\right)+\frac{1}{v} \mathscr{C}_{h}\left(\varepsilon_{h}^{n}, q_{h}\right)+\left(\lambda^{n} e_{h}^{n-1}, v_{h}\right) \\
&=\left(\frac{\eta_{h}^{n}-\eta_{h}^{n-1} \circ X_{1}^{n}}{\Delta t}-\frac{u^{n}-u^{n-1} \circ X_{1}^{n}}{\Delta t}, v_{h}\right)+\left(\lambda^{n}\left(\eta_{h}^{n-1}-u^{n-1}\right), v_{h}\right) \\
&+\left(\frac{D u^{n}}{D t}+\lambda^{n} u^{n}, v_{h}\right)-\frac{1}{v} \mathscr{C}_{h}\left(p^{n}, q_{h}\right) \\
&=\left(\frac{\eta_{h}^{n}-\eta_{h}^{n-1} \circ X_{1}^{n}}{\Delta t}+\left(\frac{D u^{n}}{D t}-\frac{u^{n}-u^{n-1} \circ X_{1}^{n}}{\Delta t}\right)+\lambda^{n}\left(\eta_{h}^{n-1}+u^{n}-u^{n-1}\right), v_{h}\right) \\
&-\frac{1}{v} \mathscr{C}_{h}\left(p^{n}, q_{h}\right) \\
&=\left(\tilde{f}_{h}^{n}, v_{h}\right)+\frac{1}{v} \mathscr{C}_{h}\left(\tilde{g}_{h}^{n}, q_{h}\right) \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{f}_{h}^{n} \equiv \frac{\eta_{h}^{n}-\eta_{h}^{n-1} \circ X_{1}^{n}}{\Delta t}+\left(\frac{D u^{n}}{D t}-\frac{u^{n}-u^{n-1} \circ X_{1}^{n}}{\Delta t}\right)+\lambda^{n}\left(\eta_{h}^{n-1}+u^{n}-u^{n-1}\right) \\
& \tilde{g}_{h}^{n} \equiv-p^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying Theorem 1-(i) to (29), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e_{h}\right\|_{l^{\infty}\left(L^{2}\right)}, \sqrt{v}\left\|e_{h}\right\|_{l^{2}\left(H^{1}\right)}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{v}}\left|\varepsilon_{h}\right|_{l^{2}(|\cdot| h)} \leq c_{3}\left(\left\|e_{h}^{0}\right\|_{0}+\left\|\tilde{f}_{h}\right\|_{l^{2}\left(L^{2}\right)}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{v}}\left|\tilde{g}_{h}\right|_{l^{2}\left(|\cdot|_{h}\right)}\right) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

We evaluate $\left\|\tilde{f}_{h}\right\|_{l^{2}\left(L^{2}\right)}$ and $\left|\tilde{g}_{h}\right|_{l^{2}\left(|\cdot|_{h}\right)}$. It holds that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\tilde{f}_{h}^{n}\right\|_{0} & \leq\left\|\frac{\eta_{h}^{n}-\eta_{h}^{n-1} \circ X_{1}^{n}}{\Delta t}\right\|_{0}+\left\|\frac{D u^{n}}{D t}-\frac{u^{n}-u^{n-1} \circ X_{1}^{n}}{\Delta t}\right\|_{0}+\left\|\lambda^{n}\left(\eta_{h}^{n-1}+u^{n}-u^{n-1}\right)\right\|_{0} \\
& \equiv I_{1}^{n}+I_{2}^{n}+I_{3}^{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$I_{i}^{n}(i=1,2,3)$ and $I_{4}^{n} \equiv\left|\tilde{g}_{h}^{n}\right|_{h}$ are evaluated as

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{1}^{n} & =\left\|\frac{\eta_{h}^{n}-\eta_{h}^{n-1} \circ X_{1}^{n}}{\Delta t}\right\|_{0}=\left\|\bar{D}_{\Delta t} \eta_{h}^{n}+\frac{\eta_{h}^{n-1}-\eta_{h}^{n-1} \circ X_{1}^{n}}{\Delta t}\right\|_{0} \\
& \leq\left\|\bar{D}_{\Delta t} \eta_{h}^{n}\right\|_{0}+c_{1}\left\|\eta_{h}^{n-1}\right\|_{1} \quad(\text { by }(21 \mathrm{a})) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta t}}\left\|\eta_{h}\right\|_{H^{1}\left(t^{n-1}, t^{n} ; L^{2}\right)}+c_{1}\left\|\eta_{h}^{n-1}\right\|_{1} \\
& \leq \frac{c_{1} h}{\sqrt{v}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta t}}\|(u, p)\|_{H^{1}\left(t^{n-1}, t^{n} ; H^{2} \times H^{1}, v\right)}+\left\|\left(u^{n-1}, p^{n-1}\right)\right\|_{H^{2} \times H^{1}, v}\right)  \tag{31a}\\
I_{2}^{n} & =\left\|\frac{D u^{n}}{D t}-\frac{u^{n}-u^{n-1} \circ X_{1}^{n}}{\Delta t}\right\|_{0} \leq c_{1} \sqrt{\Delta t}\|u\|_{Z^{2}\left(t^{n-1}, t^{n}\right)},  \tag{31b}\\
I_{3}^{n} & =\left\|\lambda^{n}\left(\eta_{h}^{n-1}-u^{n-1}+u^{n}\right)\right\|_{0} \leq c_{2}\left(\left\|\eta_{h}^{n-1}\right\|_{0}+\left\|u^{n}-u^{n-1}\right\|_{0}\right) \\
& \leq c_{2}\left(\frac{h}{\sqrt{v}}\left\|\left(u^{n-1}, p^{n-1}\right)\right\|_{H^{2} \times H^{1}, v}+\sqrt{\Delta t}\|u\|_{H^{1}\left(t^{n-1}, t^{n} ; L^{2}\right)}\right)  \tag{31c}\\
I_{4}^{n} & =\left|\tilde{g}_{h}^{n}\right|_{h}=\left|p^{n}\right|_{h} \leq h\left\|p^{n}\right\|_{1} \tag{31d}
\end{align*}
$$

which imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\tilde{f}_{h}\right\|_{l^{2}\left(L^{2}\right)} \leq c_{3}\left(\Delta t\|u\|_{Z^{2}}+\frac{h}{\sqrt{v}}\|(u, p)\|_{H^{1}\left(0, T ; H^{2} \times H^{1}, v\right)}\right) \tag{32a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\tilde{g}_{h}\right|_{l^{2}\left(|\cdot|_{h}\right)} \leq h\|p\|_{l^{2}\left(H^{1}\right)} . \tag{32b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (32) with (30), we obtain (15).
Next we prove Theorem 2-(ii). Applying Theorem 1-(ii) to (29), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sqrt{v}\left\|e_{h}\right\|_{l^{\infty}\left(H^{1}\right)},\left\|\bar{D}_{\Delta t} e_{h}\right\|_{l^{2}\left(L^{2}\right)},\left\|\varepsilon_{h}\right\|_{l^{2}\left(L^{2}\right)} \\
& \quad \leq c_{3}(1 / v)\left(\left\|e_{h}^{0}\right\|_{1}+\left|\varepsilon_{h}^{0}\right|_{h}+\Delta t\|u\|_{Z^{2}}+\frac{h}{\sqrt{v}}\|(u, p)\|_{H^{1}\left(0, T ; H^{2} \times H^{1}, v\right)}\right), \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

from (32) and the estimate

$$
\left|\bar{D}_{\Delta t} \tilde{g}_{h}\right|_{l^{2}\left(|\cdot|_{h}\right)}=\left|\bar{D}_{\Delta t} p\right|_{l^{2}(|\cdot| h)} \leq h\left|\bar{D}_{\Delta t} p\right|_{l^{2}\left(H^{1}\right)} \leq h\left\|\frac{\partial p}{\partial t}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(H^{1}\right)} .
$$

Since $\left(u_{h}^{0}, p_{h}^{0}\right)$ and $\left(\hat{u}_{h}^{0}, \hat{p}_{h}^{0}\right)$ are the Stokes projections of $\left(u^{0}, 0\right)$ and $\left(u^{0}, p^{0}\right)$ by (14), respectively, it holds that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|e_{h}^{0}\right\|_{1} & =\left\|u_{h}^{0}-\hat{u}_{h}^{0}\right\|_{1} \leq\left\|u_{h}^{0}-u^{0}\right\|_{1}+\left\|u^{0}-\hat{u}_{h}^{0}\right\|_{1} \leq \frac{c h}{\sqrt{v}}\left\|\left(u^{0}, p^{0}\right)\right\|_{H^{2} \times H^{1}, v}  \tag{34a}\\
\left|\varepsilon_{h}^{0}\right|_{h} & =\left|p_{h}^{0}-\hat{p}_{h}^{0}\right|_{h} \leq\left|p_{h}^{0}-0\right|_{h}+\left|\hat{p}_{h}^{0}-p^{0}\right|_{h}+\left|p^{0}\right|_{h} \leq \operatorname{ch} \sqrt{v}\left\|\left(u^{0}, p^{0}\right)\right\|_{H^{2} \times H^{1}, v} \tag{34b}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (34) with (33), we obtain (16).

## 5 Numerical results

In this section two and three dimensional problems are computed by scheme (7).
A quadrature formulae of degree five (2D: seven points, 3D: fifteen points) [31] is employed for computation of the integral

$$
\int_{K} u_{h}^{n-1} \circ X_{1}^{n}(x) v_{h}(x) d x
$$

appearing in scheme (7). Let $R e \equiv 1 / v$ be the Reynolds number. $\delta_{0}=0.05$ is chosen by some numerical experience. The system of linear equations is solved by MINRES.

### 5.1 Numerical convergence order

In order to observe the convergence order we prepare analytic solutions.
Example 1. In problem (1) we set $\Omega=(0, \pi)^{d}, T=\pi$ and two values of $v$,

$$
v=1,10^{-1}
$$

(i) In the case of $d=2$ we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w(x, t)=(1+\sin t)\left(\sin ^{2} x_{1} \sin \left(2 x_{2}\right),-\sin ^{2} x_{2} \sin \left(2 x_{1}\right)\right)^{T}, \\
& \lambda(x, t)=(1+\sin t)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\sin x_{1} & \cos x_{1} \\
\sin x_{1} \sin x_{2} & \sin x_{1} \cos x_{2}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The functions $f$ and $u^{0}$ are given so that the exact solution is

$$
(u, p)(x, t)=\left(w(x, t),(1+\sin t)\left(\sin x_{1}-\sin x_{2}\right)\right) .
$$

(ii) In the case of $d=3$ we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w(x, t)=(1+\sin t)\left(\begin{array}{c}
-\sin ^{2} x_{1} \sin \left(2 x_{2}\right) \sin \left(2 x_{3}\right) \\
2 \sin \left(2 x_{1}\right) \sin ^{2} x_{2} \sin \left(2 x_{3}\right) \\
-\sin \left(2 x_{1}\right) \sin \left(2 x_{2}\right) \sin ^{2} x_{3}
\end{array}\right), \\
& \lambda(x, t)=(1+\sin t)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\sin x_{1} & \sin x_{2} & \sin x_{3} \\
\cos x_{1} & \cos x_{2} & \cos x_{3} \\
\sin x_{1} & \sin x_{2} & \sin x_{3}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The functions $f$ and $u^{0}$ are given so that the exact solution is

$$
(u, p)(x, t)=\left(w(x, t),(1+\sin t)\left(-\sin x_{1}+2 \sin x_{2}-\sin x_{3}\right)\right)
$$

We solve Example 1 to recognize the theoretical convergence order. Let $N$ be the division number of each side of the domain. We set $N=16,32,64,128$ and 256 for $d=2$ and $N=8,16,32$ and 64 for $d=3$, and (re)define $h \equiv \pi / N$. Sample meshes are shown in Fig. 1 for $d=2$ (left, $N=16$ ) and 3 (right, $N=8$ ). The time increment $\Delta t$ is set to be $\Delta t=1 / N=h / \pi$. Let $\left(u_{h}, p_{h}\right)$ be the solution of scheme (7). The initial function $u_{h}^{0}$ in scheme (7) is chosen as the first component of the Stokes projection of $\left(u^{0}, 0\right)$ by (14). We define Err by

$$
E r r \equiv \frac{\left\|u_{h}-\Pi_{h} u\right\|_{l^{2}\left(H^{1}\right)}+\left\|p_{h}-\Pi_{h} p\right\|_{l^{2}\left(L^{2}\right)}}{\left\|\Pi_{h} u\right\|_{l^{2}\left(H^{1}\right)}+\left\|\Pi_{h} p\right\|_{l^{2}\left(L^{2}\right)}}
$$

as the relative error between $(u, p)$ and $\left(u_{h}, p_{h}\right)$. Fig. 2 shows graphs of Err versus $h$ in logarithmic scale. We can see that $E r r$ is almost of first order in $h$ for both $d=2$ and 3, and the results are consistent with Theorem 2.


Figure 1: Sample meshes used for Example 1 in 2D (left, $N=16$ ) and 3D (right, $N=8$ ).

### 5.2 Application to the linear stability analysis for flows past a circular cylinder

Applying scheme (7) to linearized Navier-Stokes equations at stationary symmetric solutions past a circular cylinder, we reconfirm the fact that the onset of flow instability is around $R e=50$. The critical $R e$ is delicately dependent on the size of $\Omega$ and the outflow boundary conditions [19,20]. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega \equiv\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2} ;-7.5<x_{1}<22.5,-7.5<x_{2}<7.5,|x|>0.5\right\} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the domain and $\mathscr{T}_{h}$ be the triangulation of $\bar{\Omega}$. Fig. 3 shows $\Omega$ (left) and $\mathscr{T}_{h}$ around the cylinder. The boundary conditions for the stationary flows are also put in the left figure, where $\tau \equiv(-p I+$


Figure 2: Err vs. $h$ in two and three dimensional test problems.
$2 v D(u)) n$ for the identity matrix $I$ and the outward unit normal vector $n$. The number of elements is 52,416 , the number of nodes is $26,608\left(h_{\min }=1.16 \times 10^{-2}, h=h_{\max }=2.50 \times 10^{-1}\right)$ and the number of degrees of freedom is 78,924 . The triangulation $\mathscr{T}_{h}$ is symmetric with respect to the $x_{1}$-axis, cf. Fig. 3 (right). Let $\Omega_{+} \equiv\left\{x \in \Omega ; x_{2}>0\right\}$ be the upper half domain. We impose the boundary conditions $\tau_{1}=0$ and $u_{2}=0$ on the $x_{1}$-axis and the conditions of Fig. 3 on the other boundaries. For each $\operatorname{Re}$ subject to the initial value $u=0$ we solve the non-stationary Navier-Stokes equations in $\Omega_{+}$by a pressure-stabilized characteristics finite element scheme [21,23] and obtain a numerically stationary solution. Extending the solution symmetrically to the domain $x_{2}<0$, we get a symmetric stationary solution $\left(u_{h}^{(\mathrm{NS})}, p_{h}^{(\mathrm{NS})}\right) \in X_{h} \times M_{h}$. Fig. 4 exhibits streamlines (left) and pressure contours (right) of the stationary solution $\left(u_{h}^{(\mathrm{NS})}, p_{h}^{(\mathrm{NS})}\right)$ for $R e=10$ (top) and 100 (bottom). Considering the perturbation of the velocity and the pressure for the Navier-Stokes equations, we set the following problem.


Figure 3: The domain $\Omega$ with the boundary conditions for the Navier-Stokes equations (left) and the used triangular mesh around the cylinder (right).


Figure 4: Streamlines (left, $[-0.1,0.1 ; 0.01])$ and pressure contours (right, $[-0.9,0.9 ; 0.01]$ ) of the stationary solution $\left(u_{h}^{(\mathrm{NS})}, p_{h}^{(\mathrm{NS})}\right)$ for $R e=10$ (top) and 100 (bottom).

Example 2. In (1) we set $\Omega$ by (35), $T=100$, five values of $v$,

$$
v=\frac{1}{R e}, \quad R e=10,40,50,60,100
$$

$w=u_{h}^{(\mathrm{NS})}, \lambda_{i j}=\partial u_{h i}^{(\mathrm{NS})} / \partial x_{j}(i, j=1,2), f=0$ and $u^{0} \approx 0$. The homogeneous boundary condition (1c) is replaced with the boundary conditions, $u=0$ on $\Gamma_{0}, \tau=0$ on $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\tau_{1}=0$ and $u_{2}=0$ on $\Gamma_{2}$, cf. Fig. 3 (left) for the definitions of $\Gamma_{i}(i=0,1,2)$.

We solve Example 2 by a slightly modified scheme of (7) with $\Delta t=1 / 50$; find $\left\{\left(u_{h}^{n}, p_{h}^{n}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{N_{T}} \subset$ $\tilde{V}_{h} \times \tilde{Q}_{h}$ such that, for $n=1, \cdots, N_{T}$,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(\frac{u_{h}^{n}-u_{h}^{n-1} \circ X_{1}^{n}}{\Delta t}, v_{h}\right)+\mathscr{A}_{h}\left(\left(u_{h}^{n}, p_{h}^{n}\right),\left(v_{h}, q_{h}\right)\right)+\left(\lambda^{n} u_{h}^{n-1}, v_{h}\right) \\
=\left(f^{n}, v_{h}\right), \quad \forall\left(v_{h}, q_{h}\right) \in \tilde{V}_{h} \times \tilde{Q}_{h}, \tag{36}
\end{array}
$$

where $\tilde{V}_{h} \equiv X_{h} \cap\left\{v \in H^{1}(\Omega)^{d} ; v=0\right.$ on $\Gamma_{0}, v_{2}=0$ on $\left.\Gamma_{2}\right\}$ and $\tilde{Q}_{h} \equiv M_{h}$. The small perturbation, $u_{h}^{0}(-1.36,0)=(0.01,0)^{T}$, is given while $u_{h}^{0}(P)=0$ at the other nodes $P$.

We compute $\left\|\left(u_{h}^{n}, p_{h}^{n}\right)\right\|_{V \times Q}$ for $n=1, \cdots, N_{T}$ and observe the behavior of the solutions. The graphs of $\left\|\left(u_{h}^{n}, p_{h}^{n}\right)\right\|_{V \times Q}$ versus $t$ are shown in Fig. 5. For $R e=10,40$ and 50 the values of $\left\|\left(u_{h}^{n}, p_{h}^{n}\right)\right\|_{V \times Q}$ finally decrease and for $R e=60$ and 100 the values of $\left\|\left(u_{h}^{n}, p_{h}^{n}\right)\right\|_{V \times Q}$ monotonically increase after $t=3$. For $R e=50$ we have additionally performed a computation on a fine mesh ( $\sharp$ elements: 195,200 , $\sharp$ nodes: $98,400, h_{\min }=5.67 \times 10^{-3}, h=h_{\max }=1.66 \times 10^{-1}$ ) and with a small $\Delta t(=$ $1 / 100)$. The result is shown in the dashed line in Fig. 5. The graph of $\left\|\left(u_{h}^{n}, p_{h}^{n}\right)\right\|_{V \times Q}$ is almost flat after $t=50$, which implies the onset of the flow instability is around this Reynolds number. In order to obtain the critical Reynolds number a finer mesh and a smaller $\Delta t$ should be employed. Continuing the computation for $R e=100$ until $t=140$, we obtain $\left(u_{h}, p_{h}\right)(t=140)$. Fig. 6 shows streamlines (left) and pressure contours (right) of $\left(u_{h}^{(\mathrm{NS})}, p_{h}^{(\mathrm{NS})}\right)+\left(u_{h}, p_{h}\right)(t=140)$ for $\operatorname{Re}=100$, where $\max _{x \in \bar{\Omega}}\left|u_{h}(x)(t=140)\right| \approx 0.126$ and $\max _{x \in \bar{\Omega}}\left|u_{h}^{(\mathrm{NS})}(x)\right| \approx 1.230$. A non-symmetricity with respect to the $x_{1}$-axis caused by $\left(u_{h}, p_{h}\right)$ is observed.


Figure 5: Graphs of $\left\|\left(u_{h}, p_{h}\right)(t)\right\|_{V \times Q}$ vs. $t$ for $R e=10,40,50,60$ and 100.


Figure 6: Streamlines (left, $[-0.1,0.1 ; 0.01]$ ) and pressure contours (right, $[-0.6,0.6 ; 0.01]$ ) of $\left(u_{h}^{(\mathrm{NS})}, p_{h}^{(\mathrm{NS})}\right)+\left(u_{h}, p_{h}\right)(t=140)$ for $R e=100$.

## 6 Conclusions

We have presented a pressure-stabilized characteristics finite element scheme for the Oseen equations. Stability (Theorem 1) and convergence (Theorem 2) results with the optimal error estimates for the velocity and the pressure have been proved. The scheme is based on the method of characteristics, which works well for convection-dominated problems and leads to a symmetric coefficient matrix of the system of linear equations. The system can be solved by efficient linear solvers for symmetric matrices, e.g., MINRES, CR and so on. Since a cheap P1/P1 finite element is employed, the degrees of freedom are smaller than that of other typical elements for the equations, e.g., $\mathrm{P} 2 / \mathrm{P} 1$. These advantages, i.e., symmetry of the coefficient matrix and small degrees of freedom, reduces computation cost (time and memory). Two and three dimensional numerical results have been shown. The numerical convergence orders in Example 1 are consistent with the theoretical results. In Example 2 scheme (7) is applied to the linear stability analysis of stationary flows past a circular cylinder governed by the Navier-Stokes equations. The obtained results imply that the scheme is applicable to such linear stability analysis and reconfirmed that the critical $R e$ is around 50. Theoretical analysis of stability and error estimates for a corresponding scheme to the Navier-Stokes equations will be shown in a forthcoming paper [25].
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